

## Report on Training on Stakeholder Engagement

### WP3-Strengthening Sava Parks

#### Output 3.1 Training on Stakeholder Engagement

#### WP leader: IPA PP4 – Nature Conservation Movement of Sremska Mitrovica

Training on Stakeholder Engagement was organized on 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2018 in Novi Sad, at the Institute for Nature Conservation of Vojvodina Province. Representatives of all project partners participated at the training (see list of participants in Annex 1 and photos in Annex 2). Training was organized with the purpose of:

1. Presentation of the methodology for Stakeholder engagement in Transnational Management of Invasive Alien Species in the Sava River basin
2. Defining purpose and impact of the stakeholder engagement in SavaTIES project
3. Presentation of draft stakeholder analysis for four Sava River countries
4. Revising stakeholders tables by participants of the training
5. Identifying stakeholder engagement methods and defining the role of key stakeholders during the project and after project is finished

1. **Methodology for Stakeholder engagement in Transnational Management of Invasive Alien Species in the Sava River basin** was presented by IPA PP4 – Nature Conservation Movement of Sremska Mitrovica. Participants were introduced to
  - benefits of stakeholders engagement planning for project team, for identified stakeholders and for wider stakeholder community,
  - levels of stakeholder engagement,
  - challenges and limits to stakeholders engagement
  - steps in stakeholders engagement planning process.
2. During the work in plenum participants agreed on the **purposes and impacts of the stakeholder engagement** in SavaTIES project as follows.

#### Purposes:

- Raise awareness of the project
- Form new partnerships, and strengthen Sava Parks network
- Encourage a sense of ownership of the project by stakeholders
- Explore IAS issues, share ideas and best practice, generate ideas and identify
- Involve stakeholders to make it easier to obtain endorsement of resulting decisions

- Gain access to resources or to obtain information data
- Create new, or improved communication channels, identify effective dissemination
- Produce relevant documents on IAS management

#### Impacts

- Better understanding the likely effect of IAS impact on biodiversity, economy and human health
- Imbedding measures for monitoring, controlling and eradication of IAS across SRB
- Better understanding the local/ national policies and IAS management strategies
- Greater knowledge on controlling and eradication of IAS
- Harmonized cross sectoral cooperation in IAS management
- Contributing results to the discussion on the development of local/ national policies

### **3. Presentation of draft stakeholder analysis for four Sava River countries**

Prior the training all project partners worked on developing stakeholders tables (Annex 3) which was the ground for carrying out preliminary stakeholders analysis. Following pieces of information were collected and analyzed:

- Current levels of stakeholders involvement in IAS management (H,M,L), and aspects of IAS management they are (likely to be) most interested in (eg. planning, eradication, control, monitoring...)?
- If involvement and/or interest is L/M, how might we motivate them to be more engaged? What benefits might they derive from being more involved in ISM?
- Level of knowledge about invasive species related issues (H,M,L),
- Access to high quality information about invasive species (H,M,L),
- Influence on invasive species management (H,M,L),
- Comments on influence (e.g. attitudes to invasive species management, area or contexts in which they have more/less influence)
- Any important relationships with other stakeholders? (e.g. conflicts/alliances)

Results of the preliminary stakeholder analysis were presented to the training participants and served as input for the working group discussion in the next training session.

### **4. Revising stakeholders' tables by participants of the training**

Stakeholders tables which were filled in prior the training were revised in working group session. Participants were divided per country into 4 working groups. Partners from each country set together and discussed list of stakeholders and attributes assigned to them. As result of group discussion the list of stakeholders was updated for each country (new stakeholders were added to the list) an attributes to

each new stakeholder were assigned. What is more, some attributes to already identified stakeholders were changed, such as level of involvement, or level of influence on invasive species management.

Revised stakeholders tables served as input for the final stakeholders analysis.

## **5. Identifying stakeholder engagement methods and defining the role of key stakeholders during the project and after project is finished**

During this working group session participants selected main stakeholder engagement methods to be used at different levels of stakeholders engagement and defined possible roles of key stakeholders.

### **Conclusions**

Results of stakeholder analysis serve as baseline for:

- Expanding Sava Parks network
- Selecting participants for local stakeholders workshops in PA
- Selecting members for cross – sectoral Stakeholder Committees in each PA
- Selecting stakeholders to be informed, consulted, involved and collaborate with

Stakeholders analysis is precondition for drafting Stakeholder engagement plan.

Final version of Stakeholder engagement plan for Transnational Management of Invasive Alien Species in the Sava River basin will contain additional chapters related to:

- Organising pilot stakeholder workshops and establishing stakeholder committee in all PA
- Organising 4 regional workshops
- Organising 3 trainings
- Organising study tour

### **Annexes**

Annex 1. List of participants

Annex 2. Photos

Annex 3. Stakeholder table

Annex 4. Stakeholder analysis

Annex 5. First draft of Stakeholder engagement plan

## Annex 1. List of participants

List of Participants

N°	Name	Country	Institution	E-mail	Signature		
					2 <sup>nd</sup> Oct	3 <sup>rd</sup> Oct	4 <sup>th</sup> Oct
1	Elfrun Lindenthal	DE	EuroNatur	<a href="mailto:elfrun.lindenthal@euronatur.org">elfrun.lindenthal@euronatur.org</a>			
2	Tamara Stojanovic	RS	Provincial Secretariat for urban planning and environmental protection	<a href="mailto:tamara.stojanovic@vojvodina.gov.rs">tamara.stojanovic@vojvodina.gov.rs</a>			
3	Tatjana Djuric	RS	Provincial Secretariat for urban planning and environmental protection	<a href="mailto:tatjana.djuric@vojvodina.gov.rs">tatjana.djuric@vojvodina.gov.rs</a>			
4	Aleksandra-Anja Dragomirović	BA	Centar za životnu sredinu/Center for Environment (CZS)	<a href="mailto:aleksandraanja@gmail.com">aleksandraanja@gmail.com</a>			
5	Bojan Tubic	RS	PE Vojvodinašume	<a href="mailto:btubic@vojvodinasume.rs">btubic@vojvodinasume.rs</a>			
6	Ivana Lozjanin	RS	PE Vojvodinašume	<a href="mailto:ivana.lozjanin@sgsmitrovica.rs">ivana.lozjanin@sgsmitrovica.rs</a>			
7	Miroslava Petričević	RS	PE Vojvodinašume	<a href="mailto:mirilence@gmail.com">mirilence@gmail.com</a>			
8	Zlatko Butina	HR	Public institution for managing protected area of Sisak-moslavina county	<a href="mailto:zlatko.butina@zastita-prirode-smz.hr">zlatko.butina@zastita-prirode-smz.hr</a>			
9	Mirjana Vujasinovic	RS	Tourist Organization of the Municipality of Ruma	<a href="mailto:ruma.too@gmail.com">ruma.too@gmail.com</a>			
10	Marija Cuic	RS	Tourist Organization of the Municipality of Ruma	<a href="mailto:ruma.too@gmail.com">ruma.too@gmail.com</a>			
11	Iva Miljević	BA	Centar za životnu sredinu/Center for Environment (CZS)	<a href="mailto:iva.miljevic@czzs.org">iva.miljevic@czzs.org</a>			
12	Gusztáv Csomor	HU	Joint Secretariat   Danube Transnational Programme				
13	Valerija Hima	HR	Lonjski Polje Nature Park Public Institution	<a href="mailto:valerija.hima@gmx.com">valerija.hima@gmx.com</a>			
14	Renato Bukvić	HR	Lonjski Polje Nature Park Public Institution	<a href="mailto:sumar-renato@pp-lonjsko-polje.hr">sumar-renato@pp-lonjsko-polje.hr</a>			
15	Goran Gugić	AL	INCA/ GIZ-CIM	<a href="mailto:goran.gugic@cimonline.de">goran.gugic@cimonline.de</a>			

N°	Name	Country	Institution	E-mail	Signature		
					2 <sup>nd</sup> Oct	3 <sup>rd</sup> Oct	4 <sup>th</sup> Oct
16	Amarildo Mulić	BA	PC NATIONAL PARK "UNA" LLC BIHAĆ	<a href="mailto:jp.np.una@gmail.com">jp.np.una@gmail.com</a>			
17	Haris Hadžihajdarević	BA	PC NATIONAL PARK "UNA" LLC BIHAĆ	<a href="mailto:jp.np.una@gmail.com">jp.np.una@gmail.com</a>			
18	Ana Tratnik	SI	Public Institution Ljubljansko barje Nature Park	<a href="mailto:ana.tratnik@ljublanskobarje.si">ana.tratnik@ljublanskobarje.si</a>			
19	Janez Kastelic	SI	Public Institution Ljubljansko barje Nature Park	<a href="mailto:janez.kastelic@ljublanskobarje.si">janez.kastelic@ljublanskobarje.si</a>			
20	Petra Kutlesa	HR	Croatian Agency for Environment and Nature (CAEN)	<a href="mailto:petra.kutlesa@haop.hr">petra.kutlesa@haop.hr</a>			
21	Zdravko Kozinc	SI	Zavod Iskriva	<a href="mailto:zdravko.kozinc@iskriva.net">zdravko.kozinc@iskriva.net</a>			
22	Eva Kos	SI	Zavod Iskriva	<a href="mailto:eva.kos@iskriva.net">eva.kos@iskriva.net</a>			
23	Željka Šabarić	HR	Green Ring	<a href="mailto:zeljka@zeleni-prsten.hr">zeljka@zeleni-prsten.hr</a>			
24	Luka Basrek	HR	Green Ring	<a href="mailto:luka@zeleni-prsten.hr">luka@zeleni-prsten.hr</a>			
25	Ivana Sučić	HR	Green Ring	<a href="mailto:ivana.sucic06@gmail.com">ivana.sucic06@gmail.com</a>			
26	Iris Beneš	HR	Brod Ecological Society-BED	<a href="mailto:iris@bed.hr">iris@bed.hr</a>			
27	Vuk Simić	RS	Pokret gorana Sremske Mitrovice	<a href="mailto:zasavica2012@gmail.com">zasavica2012@gmail.com</a>			
28	Slobodan Simić	RS	Pokret gorana Sremske Mitrovice	<a href="mailto:zasavica@zasavica.org.rs">zasavica@zasavica.org.rs</a>			
29	Mihailo Stanković	RS	Pokret gorana Sremske Mitrovice	<a href="mailto:mihailo.stankovic@zasavica.org.rs">mihailo.stankovic@zasavica.org.rs</a>			
30	Mirjana Bartula	RS	Pokret gorana Sremske Mitrovice	<a href="mailto:mirjana.bartula@gmail.com">mirjana.bartula@gmail.com</a>			

N°	Name	Country	Institution	E-mail	Signature		
					2 <sup>nd</sup> Oct	3 <sup>rd</sup> Oct	4 <sup>th</sup> Oct
31	Jovan Vukadinovic	RS	Pokret gorana Sremske Mitrovice	<a href="mailto:vukadinovic.jovan@gmail.com">vukadinovic.jovan@gmail.com</a>			
32	Milana Masic	BA	AREA	<a href="mailto:areainfoaction@gmail.com">areainfoaction@gmail.com</a>			
33	Mihajlo Markovic	BA	AREA	<a href="mailto:mikamarkovic60@gmail.com">mikamarkovic60@gmail.com</a>			
34	Molnár Zsolt	HU	External expert	<a href="mailto:molnar.zsolt@okologia.mta.hu">molnar.zsolt@okologia.mta.hu</a>	<i>Molnar Zsolt</i>	<i>Molnar Zsolt</i>	<i>Molnar Zsolt</i>
35	Klara Szabados	SR	Institute for Nature Conservation of Vojvodina Province	<a href="mailto:klara.szabados@pzzp.rs">klara.szabados@pzzp.rs</a>	<i>Szabados</i>	<i>Szabados</i>	
36	Alen Kis	SR	Institute for Nature Conservation of Vojvodina Province	<a href="mailto:alen.kis@pzzp.rs">alen.kis@pzzp.rs</a>	<i>Kis</i>	<i>Kis</i>	<i>Kis</i>
37	Slobodan Puzovic	SR	Institute for Nature Conservation of Vojvodina Province	<a href="mailto:slobodan.puzovic@pzzp.rs">slobodan.puzovic@pzzp.rs</a>			
38	Sara Mihajlovic	SR	Institute for Nature Conservation of Vojvodina Province	<a href="mailto:sara.mihajlovic@pzzp.rs">sara.mihajlovic@pzzp.rs</a>	<i>Mihajlovic</i>	<i>Mihajlovic</i>	
39	Marko Djapic	SR	Institute for Nature Conservation of Vojvodina Province	<a href="mailto:marko.djapic@pzzp.rs">marko.djapic@pzzp.rs</a>	<i>Djapic</i>	<i>Djapic</i>	
40	DAMIR CUCJAK	HR	LOVJSKO POLJE NATURAL PARK PUBLIC INSTITUTION	<a href="mailto:ranger@pp-lovjsko-polje.hr">ranger@pp-lovjsko-polje.hr</a>	<i>Cucjak</i>	<i>Cucjak</i>	<i>Cucjak</i>
41	Annette Franzen-Berg	D	EU-Nature	<a href="mailto:annette.franzen-berg@eu-nature.org">annette.franzen-berg@eu-nature.org</a>	<i>Franzen-Berg</i>	<i>Franzen-Berg</i>	<i>Franzen-Berg</i>
42	Tanja Bosnjak	SR	Institute for Nature Conservation of Vojvodina Province	<a href="mailto:tanja.bosnjak@pzzp.rs">tanja.bosnjak@pzzp.rs</a>	<i>Bosnjak</i>	<i>Bosnjak</i>	<i>Bosnjak</i>
43							
44							
45							

Annex 2. Photos







# Annex 4. Stakeholders analysis for IAS management in the Sava River basin

## Characterizing and categorizing stakeholders

Stakeholders were characterized based on 5 attributes (interest, involvement in IAS management, influence, knowledge and access to quality IAS related information) using the scale high – medium – low.

Analyzing in more details relationship between interest for, and influence on the process of IAS planning we divided stakeholders into following main categories:

- **Key players** - stakeholders with high interest and influence and should to be involved in IAS management planning.
- **Context setters** - highly influential, but have little interest. Because of this, they may represent a significant risk, and should be monitored and managed.
- **Subjects** - have high interest but low influence and although by definition they are supportive, they lack the capacity for impact, although they may become influential by forming alliances with other stakeholders.
- **The crowd** - stakeholders with little interest in or influence over the IAS planning process and there is little need to consider them in much detail or to engage with them. Although they have little interest and little power they could endanger sustainability of biodiversity conservation.



In total 33 stakeholders were identified and classified in stakeholder groups as presented in Table 1.

Table1. Stakeholders groups in Slovenia

Stakeholder group	Type of stakeholders	Name of stakeholders
<b>Governmental authorities</b>	Ministries	Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Food Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning
	Inspectorates	Inspectorate for Agriculture, Forestry, Food and Environment
	Gov. Funds	Farmland and Forest Fund of the Republic of Slovenia
	Public utility companies	Hidrotehnik d.d.
	Agencies	Slovenian Environment Agency Agency for agricultural markets and rural development Slovenian water agency
	Local government	City Municipality of Ljubljana Municipality of Brezovica, Borovnica, Ig, Log-Dragomer, Škofljica, Vrhnika
	Chambers	Chamber of Agriculture and Forestry of Slovenia
	Public institutions	Institute of the Republic of Slovenia for Nature Conservation Slovenian Forest Service
<b>Business sector</b>	Resources users	Farmers Leaseholders
<b>Academia</b>	Universities	University of Ljubljana Biotechnical Faculty
	Research institutions	Slovenian Forestry Institute The Fisheries Research Institute of Slovenia
<b>Civil society</b>	Nongovernmental organizations	Hunting clubs in Ljubljansko barje Nature Park Hunting Association of Slovenia Fishing Association of Slovenia Fishing club Vrhnika, Fishing club Barje Institute Symbiosis, so.e. Society for Observation and Study of Birds of Slovenia Herpetological society of Slovenia Institute for preserving natural and cultural heritage of the Ljubljansko barje
<b>Other stakeholders</b>	Local community	Landowners Schools

## Governmental authorities

### Key players

Strong **key players** with high interest, high influence, high knowledge and high access to information

1. Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Food
2. Ministry of the Environment and Spatial Planning
3. Inspectorate for Agriculture, Forestry, Food and Environment
4. Chamber of Agriculture and Forestry of Slovenia
5. City Municipality of Ljubljana

**Key players with** high interest and medium influence, high knowledge and high access to information.

6. Slovenian Environment Agency has high interest and medium influence.
7. Institute of the Republic of Slovenia for Nature Conservation

**Key players with** medium interest and medium influence, high knowledge and high access to information

8. Farmland and Forest Fund of the Republic of Slovenia
9. Agency for agricultural markets and rural development

**Key players with** medium interest and high influence, medium level of knowledge and high access to information

10. Slovenian water agency

**Context setters with** low interest and high influence, medium knowledge, high access to IAS information.

1. PU company Hidrotehnik d.d.

Influentiality comes from potential to spread IAS. With right management of channels could control spreading and eradicate some species of IAS.

**Context setters with** low interest and medium influence, medium knowledge and medium access to information.

2. Municipalities of Brezovica, Borovnica, Ig, Log-Dragomer, Škofljica, Vrhnika

## Business sector

**Key players with** medium interest and high influence, medium level of knowledge and medium access to information

1. Farmers
2. Leaseholders

## Academia

**Key players with** high interest and medium influence, high knowledge and high access to information

1. University of Ljubljana Biotechnical Faculty

Origin of influence - data gathered in their researches are mostly used by other organizations

**Key players with** high interest, high influence, high knowledge and high access to information.

2. Slovenian Forestry Institute

**Context setters with** low interest and medium influence, low knowledge and medium access to information

1. The Fisheries Research Institute of Slovenia

## Civil society

**Key players with** high interest, high influence, high knowledge and high access to information.

1. Institute Symbiosis, so.e.
2. Society for Observation and Study of Birds of Slovenia

**Key players with** high interest, medium influence, high knowledge and high access to information.

3. Herpetological society of Slovenia

**Context setters with** low interest and medium influence, low knowledge and medium access to information

1. Hunting clubs in Ljubljansko barje Nature Park
2. Hunting Association of Slovenia
3. Fishing Association of Slovenia
4. Fishing club Vrhnika, Fishing club Barje

Infuentiality comes from awareness raising activities.

**Crowd** - low interest and low influence

1. Institute for preserving natural and cultural heritage of the Ljubljansko barje

## Other stakeholders

**Key players** with medium interest and high influence, medium knowledge and medium access to information

1. Landowners


Each landowner has an influence on their own land

**Key players** with medium interest and medium influence, medium knowledge and medium access to information

1. Schools

### Conflicting situation

Conflict between performing obligations of Environmental measures in agriculture in the frame of CAP (common agriculture policy) – Rural development plan and spreading certain invasive species – e.g. late mowing for corn crane (*Crex crex*) and spreading goldenrod.



**Figure 1.** Types of IAS stakeholders in SRB in Slovenia

## Croatia

In total 79 stakeholders were identified and classified in stakeholder groups as presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Stakeholders groups in Croatia

Stakeholder group	Type of stakeholders	Name of stakeholders
<b>Governmental authorities</b>	Ministries	Ministry of Environment and Energy Ministry of Agriculture / Agency for Agriculture Support and Rural Development
	Gov. Agencies	Croatian Agency for the Environment and Nature (CAEN) Croatian Agricultural Agency Paying Agency for Agriculture, Fisheries and Rural Development (PAAFRD) Croatian Agricultural and Forestry Advisory Service
	Public utility companies	Croatian Waters Croatian forests
	Public Institutions	Public Institution Green Ring of the Zagreb County Lonjsko Polje Nature Park Public Institution Public Institution for the Management of the Protected Areas of the Sisak-Moslavina County Public Institution for the Management of the Protected Areas of the Brod-Posavina County Public Institution for the Management of the Protected Areas of the Vukovar-Srijem County
	Counties	Zagreb County (Administrative Department for Agriculture, Rural Development and Forestry; Administrative Department for Physical Planning, Construction and Environmental Protection)
	Local government	City of Velika Gorica Orle Municipality, Rugvica Municipality, City of Samobor, City of Zaprešić, City of Sveta Nedjelja, City of Sisak, Kutina, Popovača, Novska, Municipality of Jasenovac and Ludina, Municipality of Brdovec, City of Ivanić Grad, Lekenik Municipality, Martinska Ves Municipality
	Funds	The Environmental Protection and Energy Efficiency Fund
	Chambers	Croatian Chamber of Agriculture
<b>Business sector</b>	Resources users	Local businessmen (use of Amorpha biomass)
<b>Academia</b>	Universities	
	Research institutions	Institute of Ornithology HAZU
<b>Civil society</b>	Nongovernmental organizations	Central Horse breeders Alliance Croatian Posavac Central Horse breeders Alliance of Calm blood

Horse  
 Association of Croatian Posavac „Dorat“, Desno  
 Željezno  
 Association of Croatian Posavac “Turopolje”,  
 Velika Gorica  
 Association of Croatian Posavac “Ivanić-Grad”,  
 Ivanić-Grad  
 Bee-keeping associations Turopolje, LPNP  
 Hunting society “Patka”, Orle  
 Turopolje Noble Community  
 WWF Adria  
 BED- Brod Ecological Society  
 Green Network of Activist Groups (ZMAG)  
 Folklore Society “Veleševac” and “Slavuj”  
 Ethno association Turopolje posavina

#### Other stakeholders

Local breeders/land owners/family farms –  
 representatives of the 6 common pastures in  
 LPNP (Lonjsko polje, Mokro polje, Poganovo  
 polje, Repušnica, Gračansko polje, Osekovo  
 polje), 1 in Odransko polje common pasture  
 and 1 in Sunjsko Polje common pasture  
 Farmers  
 Local people/local boards  
 Elementary and High Schools  
 (Veleševac, Bukevje, Novo Čiče,...)  
 Fire Department and Volunteer Fire  
 Department of the Orle Municipality  
 Media – RADIO  
 (Radio Banovina, Radio City Velika Gorica, Radio  
 Sljeme, Radio Samobor, Radio Sveta Nedelja,  
 Radio Martin, Obiteljski radio Ivanić, Radio Kaj,  
 Hrvatski radio, Radio Quirinus)  
 Media – PORTALS and NEWSPAPERS  
 (Cityportal.hr, Radio Banovina, ZG Prsten,  
 VGdanas, VGonline, Kronike Velike Gorice,  
 Volim Ivanić, 01Portal, Županijska kronika,  
 Kajje.in, ZG-magazin, H-Alter)  
 Media – TV (HRT, Z1 Televizija)  
 PR Agencies

#### Governmental authorities

**Key players** with high interest, high influence, high knowledge and high access to information.

1. Ministry of Environment and Energy,

### Sava TIES

2. Ministry of Agriculture
3. Croatian Agency for the Environment and Nature (CAEN)
4. Croatian Agricultural Agency
5. Paying Agency for Agriculture, Fisheries and Rural Development (PAAFRD)
6. Croatian Agricultural and Forestry Advisory Service
7. Croatian Waters (medium level of knowledge)
8. Croatian forests

**Key players** with high interest, medium influence, medium knowledge and high access to information.

9. Public Institution Green Ring of the Zagreb County
10. Lonjsko Polje Nature Park Public Institution
11. Public Institution for the Management of the Protected Areas of the Sisak-Moslavina County
12. Public Institution for the Management of the Protected Areas of the Brod-Posavina County
13. Public Institution for the Management of the Protected Areas of the Vukovar-Srijem County
14. City of Velika Gorica (low access to information)
15. Orle Municipality (low access to information)
16. Rugvica Municipality (low access to information)
17. City of Samobor (low access to information)
18. City of Zaprešić (low access to information)
19. City of Sveta Nedjelja (low access to information)
20. City of Sisak (low access to information)
21. Kutina (low access to information)
22. Popovača (low access to information)
23. Novska (low access to information)
24. Municipality of Jasenovac and Ludina (low access to information)
25. Municipality of Brdovec (low access to information)
26. City of Ivanić Grad (low access to information)
27. Lekenik Municipality (low access to information)
28. Martinska Ves Municipality (low access to information)

**Key players** with medium interest, medium influence, medium knowledge and medium access to information.

29. Croatian Chamber of Agriculture

**Subjects** with medium interest, low influence, low level of knowledge and low access to information.

1. The Environmental Protection and Energy Efficiency Fund

**Context setter** with low interest and medium influence, low level of knowledge and low access to information

1. Zagreb County (Administrative Department for Agriculture, Rural Development and Forestry; Administrative Department for Physical Planning, Construction and Environmental Protection)

Business sector

**Subject** with medium interest, low influence, high knowledge and high access to information.

1. Local businessmen (use of Amorpha biomass), Lonjsko polje

## Research institutions

**Crowd** - low interest, low influence, medium knowledge and medium access to information

1. Institute of Ornithology HAZU

Likely to be interested in monitoring

## Civil society

**Key players** with medium interest, high influence

1. Bee-keeping associations Turopolje, LPNP (medium knowledge and low access to information)
2. Hunting society "Patka", Orle (low knowledge and low access to information)

**Key players** with high interest, medium influence, high knowledge and medium access to information

3. WWF Adria
4. BED- Brod Ecological Society
5. Green Network of Activist Groups (ZMAG)
6. Folklore Society "Veleševac" and "Slavuj"
7. Ethno association Turopolje posavina

**Context setter** with low interest and medium influence, low level of knowledge and low access to information

1. Central Horse breeders Alliance Croatian Posavac
2. Central Horse breeders Alliance of Calm blood Horse
3. Association of Croatian Posavac „Dorat“, Desno Željezno
4. Association of Croatian Posavac "Turopolje", Velika Gorica
5. Association of Croatian Posavac "Ivanić-Grad", Ivanić-Grad
6. Turopolje Noble Community

## Other stakeholders

Media

**Key players** with medium interest, high influence, low knowledge and low access to information

1. PR Agencies

**Context setter** with low interest and high influence, low level of knowledge and low access to information

- Media – RADIO (10)
- Media – PORTALS and NEWSPAPERS (12)
- Media – TV (2)



## Local communities

**Key players** with high interest, high influence, high knowledge and high access to information.

- Local breeders/land owners/family farms – representatives of the 6 common pastures in LPNP (Lonjsko polje, Mokro polje, Poganovo polje, Repušnica, Gračansko polje, Osekovo polje), 1 in Odransko polje common pasture and 1 in Sunjsko Polje common pasture


**Crowd** - low interest, low influence, low knowledge and low access to information

- Farmers
- Local people/local boards

## Schools

**Crowd** - low interest, low influence, low knowledge and low access to information

- Elementary and High Schools (Veleševac, Bukevje, Novo Čiče,...)



**Figure 2.** Types of IAS stakeholders in SRB in Croatia

Bosnia and Hercegovina

In total 24 stakeholders were identified and classified in stakeholder groups as presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Stakeholders groups in B&H

<b>Stakeholder group</b>	<b>Type of stakeholders</b>	<b>Name of stakeholders</b>
<b>Governmental authorities</b>	Ministries	Ministry of Agriculture, Water Management and Forestry USK Federal ministry of environment and tourism Ministry of Spatial Planning, Civil Engineering and Ecology RS Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Waters RS
	Health organisation	Public Health Institute USC
	Public utility companies	PI Waters of Srpska
	Local government	Municipality of Bihać Šamac Municipality
<b>Business sector</b>	Tourist Organizations	
	Resources users	
	<b>Academia</b>	Universities
	Research institutions	Agricultural institute USK-a Republic Institute for Protection of cultural, historical and nature heritage of Republic of Srpska Agricultural Institute of Republic of Srpska
<b>Civil society</b>	Nongovernmental organizations	Association of farmers of the Ljutoč valley Society for Creating Culture, Preservation and Protection of the River Una - "The Emeralds of the Una" Sport fishing Association "Una" Bihać Vegetable farming "Marčeta" Martin Brod Fishermen Association Šamac Society for research and protection of biodiversity Civil protection
<b>Other stakeholders</b>	Local community	Local Community Orašac Local Community Kulen Vakuf

## Governmental authorities

### Key players

Key players with high interest and medium influence, high level of knowledge and high access to IAS related information

1. Ministry of Agriculture,
2. Water Management,
3. Federal ministry of environment and tourism,
4. University of Sarajevo Faculty of Science,
5. Forestry USK

Key players with high interest and medium influence, medium level of knowledge and high access to IAS related information

6. Public Health Institute USC

Key players with high interest and medium influence, medium level of knowledge and medium access to IAS related information

7. Municipality of Bihać

Key players with medium interest and high influence, low level of knowledge and low access to IAS related information

8. Šamac Municipality

**Context setters** with low interest and high influence, low level of knowledge and medium access to IAS related information

1. Ministry of Spatial Planning, Civil Engineering and Ecology RS
2. Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Waters RS

**Crowd** has low interest and low influence. They are not involved in IAS management nor have any relevant knowledge

1. PI Waters of Srpska

**Key players with** high interest and medium influence, high level of knowledge and high access to IAS related information

1. Faculty of Biotechnology Bihać,
2. Agricultural institute USK-a
3. Republic Institute for Protection of cultural, historical and nature heritage of Republic of Srpska (medium level of knowledge)

**Subject with** medium interest and low influence, medium level of knowledge and medium access to IAS related information:

1. Agricultural Institute of Republic of Srpska

### Civil society

**Key players** with high interest and medium influence

1. Society for research and protection of biodiversity  
The Society has connections in the area (especially with local community)

**Subjects** with high interest and low influence

1. Society for Creating Culture, Preservation and Protection of the River Una "Unski smaragdi"
2. Association of farmers of the Ljutoč valley

**Subjects with medium** interest and low influence

3. Vegetable farming "Marčeta" Martin Brod

**Not typical context setters** with low interest and medium influence


1. Fishermen Association Šamac  
Influentiality comes from high capacity for IAS monitoring in the field.

**Crowd** has low interest and low influence

1. Sport fishing Association "Una"

### Local communities

Local Orašac, Kulen Vakuf, and Martin Brod belongs to the real **Subjects** (high interest, low influence)



**Figure 3.** Types of IAS stakeholders in SRB in BiH

### Serbia

In total 36 stakeholders were identified and classified in stakeholder groups as presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Stakeholders groups in Serbia

Stakeholder group	Type of stakeholders	Name of stakeholders
<b>Governmental authorities</b>	Ministries	Ministry of Environmental Protection Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Management Ministry of Construction, Transport and Infrastructure Ministry of Trade, Tourism and Telecommunications
	Secretariats	Provincial Secretariat for Urban Planning and Environmental Protection Provincial Secretariat for Agriculture, Water Management and Forestry
	Public utility companies	PU Vode Vojvodine PU Vojvodinašume PU Srbijašume PU Srbijavode
	Local government	Municipality Šid Municipality Pećinci Municipality Ruma Šabac Sremska Mitrovica Obrenovac Beograd
	Tourist Organizations	Tourist Organization of Pećinci Tourist Organization of Sremska Mitrovica
<b>Business sector</b>	Resources users	Vodoprivredno preduzeće Sava, Sremska Mitrovica VDP "Hidrosrem" VDP "Šidina", Šid
<b>Academia</b>	Universities	Faculty of Sciences University of Novi Sad, Department of Biology and Ecology
	Research institutions	Institute for nature conservation of Vojvodina Province Institute for Nature Conservation of Serbia Institute of Lowland Forestry and Environment, Novi Sad Institute for the Development of Water Resources Jaroslav Cerni
<b>Civil society</b>	Nongovernmental organizations	Young Researches of Serbia (YRS) Association of Farmers Pećinci

Hunting associations of Serbia  
Hunting associations of Vojvodina  
Pokret gorana Sremska Mitrovica, Zasavica  
Special Nature Reserve  
Eco camp "Morović"  
"Pokret za Bosut", Šid  
Fishermen Society Karaš, Zasavica

**Other stakeholders**

Local community

Local communities at Pećinci Municipality

## Governmental authorities

**Key players** with high interest and high influence, medium level of knowledge, high access to high quality information

1. Ministry of Environmental Protection
2. Provincial Secretariat for Urban Planning and Environmental Protection

**Key players** with medium interest and high influence, medium level of knowledge, medium access to high quality information

3. PU Vojvodinašume
4. PU Srbijašume

**Context setters with** low interest, high influence, low level of knowledge, medium access to high quality information

1. Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Management
2. Provincial Secretariat for Agriculture, Water Management and Forestry
3. PU Srbijavode
4. PU Vode Vojvodine
5. Municipality Ruma (low access to information)

**Crowd** with low interest and low influence

1. Ministry of Construction,
2. Transport and Infrastructure,
3. Ministry of Trade, Tourism and Telecommunications
4. Municipality Šid
5. Municipality Pećinci
6. Šabac
7. Sremska Mitrovica
8. Obrenovac
9. Beograd

**Subject** with has medium interest and low influence

1. Tourist Organization of Pećinci
2. Tourist Organization of Sremska Mitrovica

## Business sector

**Context setters with** low interest and high influence, low level of knowledge and medium access information

1. Vodoprivredno preduzeće Sava, Sremska Mitrovica
2. VDP "Hidrosrem"
3. VDP "Šidina", Šid

## Academia

**Key players with** high interest and medium influence, high level of knowledge and high access information

1. Faculty of Sciences University of Novi Sad, Department of Biology and Ecology
2. Institute for Nature Conservation of Vojvodina Province
3. Institute for Nature Conservation of Serbia

**Context setters with** low interest and high influence

1. Institute of Lowland Forestry and Environment, Novi Sad (medium level of knowledge and high access to information)
2. Institute for the Development of Water Resources Jaroslav Černi (low level of knowledge and high access to information)

## Civil society organisations

**Key players** with high interest and medium influence, medium level of knowledge, medium access to high quality information

1. Pokret gorana Sremska Mitrovica,
2. Eco camp "Morović"
3. "Pokret za Bosut", Šid,
4. Association of Farmers (Obedska bara),
5. Young Researches of Serbia (YRS)

**Crowd** with low interest and low influence

1. Hunting associations of Serbia,



2. Hunting associations of Vojvodina,
3. Local environmental NGOs

They are not involved in IAS management nor have any relevant knowledge.




Figure 4. Types of IAS stakeholders in SRB in Serbia