Output Quality Report

Output title: O.T2.3 Learning of project partners from monitoring and evaluation	

Type of output:	☒ Documented learning interaction
	☐ Strategy/ Action Plan
	☐ Tool
	☐ Pilot action
Contribution to PO indicator:	**P07: No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations**

Summary of the output (max. 1500 characters)

The document summarizes the feedback obtained from virtual meetings, individual calls, but also evaluation workshops across the participating regions.

Added value (max. 1500 characters)

Document summarizes the feedback obtained at all levels from each partner. There were four interactions for feedback (1st and 2nd virtual visit, call with schools, evaluation workshop). Feedback was gathered about (InnoSchool game, Guidance on using ILS in education, Social media, Interschool competition, Impact questionnaire, ILS in general and Training workshop for teachers). The feedback is described in detail.
Each chapter regarding partner is finished by the "Agregated feedback from teritory" highlighting the most important findings.

The Key areas for improvement are highlighted at the end of the whole document regarding all project partners.

Applicability and replicability (max. 1500 characters)

As I already mentioned before, significant amount of teaching materials and best practice descriptions was created together with network of schools and teachers who are now trained to teach social entrepreneurship using the InnoSchool educational tool and open to collaboration. Due to large scope of project partners from different geographical and sectorial areas or different environments were included, the final experience and best practice should cover most of the specific claims of any other participants within the region.
According to my opinion and thanks to pilot actions with strong emphasis on feedback

incorporation, the project outputs are further applicable and replicable without any significant restrictions.	

Suggestions for improvement, if applicable (max. 1500 characters)

I appreciate that the project placed so much emphasis on feedback and subsequent adjustment of outputs to best suit the target user.

Output Quality Level	☐ Low
	☐ Average
	☐ Good
	☒ Excellent

Name of the Quality Manager

_____Ing. Martin Januška, Ph.D._____

Signature of the Quality Manager