

**IMPLEMENTATION REPORT FOR THE EUROPEAN TERRITORIAL
COOPERATION GOAL
PART A**

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

CCI	2014TC16M6TN001
Title	Danube
Version	2020.0
Date of approval of the report by the monitoring committee	28-May-2021

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT	1
KEY INFORMATION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME FOR THE YEAR CONCERNED, INCLUDING ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS, WITH RELATION TO THE FINANCIAL AND INDICATOR DATA.....	4
3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS.....	6
3.1 OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION	6
3.2 COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC INDICATORS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	9
PRIORITY AXES OTHER THAN TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	9
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 1.1B.....	9
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 1.1B.1.1.....	11
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 1.1B.1.2.....	12
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.6B.....	13
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6B.2.1.....	14
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.6C.....	15
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6C.2.2.....	16
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.6D.....	17
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6D.2.3	19
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6D.2.4	20
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 3.7C.....	21
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 3.7C.3.1.....	22
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 3.7E.....	23
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 3.7E.3.2.....	24
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 4.11A.....	25
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 4.11A.4.1	26
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 4.11C.....	27
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 4.11C.4.2.....	28
PRIORITY AXES FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE	29
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 5.TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.....	29
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 5.5.1	30
3.3 TABLE 3: INFORMATION ON THE MILESTONES AND TARGETS DEFINED IN THE PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK	31
3.4. FINANCIAL DATA.....	33
TABLE 4: FINANCIAL INFORMATION AT PRIORITY AXIS AND PROGRAMME LEVEL.....	33
WHERE APPLICABLE, THE USE OF ANY CONTRIBUTION FROM THIRD COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME SHOULD BE PROVIDED (FOR EXAMPLE IPA AND ENI, NORWAY, SWITZERLAND)	34
TABLE 5: BREAKDOWN OF THE CUMULATIVE FINANCIAL DATA BY CATEGORY OF INTERVENTION.....	35
TABLE 6: CUMULATIVE COST OF ALL OR PART OF AN OPERATION IMPLEMENTED OUTSIDE THE UNION PART OF THE PROGRAMME AREA	45
(1) ERDF SUPPORT IS THE COMMISSION DECISION ON THE RESPECTIVE COOPERATION PROGRAMME.	45
4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS.....	46
5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN.....	48
(A) ISSUES WHICH AFFECT THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND THE MEASURES TAKEN.....	48
(B) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, OTHERWISE IT WILL BE INCLUDED IN POINT 9.1. AN ASSESSMENT OF WHETHER PROGRESS MADE TOWARDS TARGETS IS SUFFICIENT TO ENSURE THEIR FULFILMENT, INDICATING ANY REMEDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN OR PLANNED, WHERE APPROPRIATE.	50
6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	51
7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	52
8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....	53
8.1. MAJOR PROJECTS	53
TABLE 7: MAJOR PROJECTS.....	53
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN IMPLEMENTING MAJOR PROJECTS AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM	53
ANY CHANGE PLANNED IN THE LIST OF MAJOR PROJECTS IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME	53
8.2. JOINT ACTION PLANS	54
TABLE 8: JOINT ACTION PLANS (JAP)	55
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM	56

9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	57
9.1 INFORMATION IN PART A AND ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	57
9.2. SPECIFIC ACTIONS TAKEN TO PROMOTE EQUALITY BETWEEN MEN AND WOMEN AND TO PROMOTE NON-DISCRIMINATION, IN PARTICULAR ACCESSIBILITY FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES, AND THE ARRANGEMENTS IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE INTEGRATION OF THE GENDER PERSPECTIVE IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME AND OPERATIONS (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (D) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	59
9.3. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (E) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....	60
9.4. REPORTING ON SUPPORT USED FOR CLIMATE CHANGE OBJECTIVES (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013).....	61
9.5 ROLE OF PARTNERS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1, (C) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	62
10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013	63
10.1 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EVALUATION PLAN AND THE FOLLOW-UP GIVEN TO THE FINDINGS OF EVALUATIONS	63
10.2 THE RESULTS OF THE INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY MEASURES OF THE FUNDS CARRIED OUT UNDER THE COMMUNICATION STRATEGY	65
11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	66
11.1. PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTEGRATED APPROACH TO TERRITORIAL DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING INTEGRATED TERRITORIAL INVESTMENTS, SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND COMMUNITY LED LOCAL DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME	66
11.2 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS TO REINFORCE THE CAPACITY OF AUTHORITIES AND BENEFICIARIES TO ADMINISTER AND TO USE THE ERDF	67
11.3 CONTRIBUTION TO MACRO-REGIONAL AND SEA BASIN STRATEGIES (WHERE APPROPRIATE)	68
<i>EUSDR</i>	69
11.4 PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS IN THE FIELD OF SOCIAL INNOVATION	72
13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH	73
14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	74
DOCUMENTS	75
LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS	76

2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(3)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.

Despite the challenges confronted in 2020 due to Covid-19 pandemic, Danube Transnational Programme (DTP) proceeded with the regular programme implementation tasks and the preparation of the next programming period. First and foremost, in order to ensure the operation of the Programme in the pandemic period, the MA/JS together with the MC reviewed their working procedures in order to adapt to online decision making, granted flexibility to the beneficiaries and FLC (e.g., in terms of on-the spot checks), granted postponement of deadlines in reporting, and last but not least kept continuous contact with all the Programme stakeholders in order to overcome the problems.

By the deadline of 15 February 2020, "the annual accounts" package prepared by the Programme authorities in close cooperation (Audit Authority, Certifying Authority and MA/JS) had been submitted to the EC for the accounting year ended 30 June 2019. The annual accounts had been approved by the EC on 10 July 2020.

Based on the expenditure included in payment applications submitted to the European Commission in the course of the accounting year 01/07/2019-30/06/2020, the Audit Authority selected the sample of operations to be audited and sent it to the GoA members for consultation purposes. Altogether 52 project partners have been selected for audit in order to gain comprehensive feedback regarding the implementation of projects within the Programme. The audits on operations were carried out by the External Auditor (AESA Hungary Ltd). All final audits reports had been issued by the end of November 2020. In the audited period 15 irregularities were revealed in the amount of 21,392.02 Euro, out of which the amount not to be recovered and repaid into the EU budget (below 250 Euro contribution from the EU Funds) is 682.45 Euro.

Based on the Verification Reports submitted by the Partner States within the deadline of 15 October, as well as the results of the system audits and audits of sampled operations carried out, the MA/JS prepared the draft management declaration and annual summary for the year 2020. The final documents had been submitted to the AA in February 2021, after acceptance of the drafts by the AA.

The MD authorities reported in 2020 that all corrective measures related to the designation audit had been fulfilled. The reimbursement of the ENI-MD validated expenditure started in 2020 for the ENI-MD partners. The designation process for Ukraine had been also finalised in 2020 with unqualified opinion. The MA/JS provided access to the eMS to the ENI-UA controllers at the beginning of December 2020 and the validation of the expenditure of the ENI-UA project partners had been started.

The year 2020 was a milestone year for any ETC programmes, as final date of the programming period. While many tasks are still open and processes are running, main achievements can be already showcased.

Since 2015, DTP has launched seven calls for proposals, out of which three have been closed in 2020 with the final reimbursement of the EU funds.

In December 2019, the last first regular call project concluded its implementation, resulting in its financial closure in mid-2020. The PAC projects have been concluded in the same period, reaching their financial closure in the second half of 2020. While the SMF projects concluded their short implementation phase in September 2019 and have been financially closed in the first half of 2020.

2020 was crucial for project selection of the third call and for the launch and preparation of future options for the leftovers:

- Assessment, selection and contracting of the regular third call for proposals. On 18th May 2020 the DTP MC approved with conditions 35 projects submitted in the 3rd call. Having in mind the extraordinary situation generated by the Covid-19 pandemic, during the conditions clearing exercise, not only the selected projects were allowed to reshape their activities and budgets in order to reflect the current situation but also were offered the possibility to introduce additional activities meant to address the Covid-19 situation, in line with the scope of the project. One of the main aims of the condition clearing phase related to the budget reduction of IPA PPs (due to shortage of IPA funds) was to make sure that a higher number of IPA PPs can receive IPA funds and implement in full the activities in the IPA countries. General budget reductions were done initially on a self-assessment basis from each project (taking into consideration several factors in parallel: overestimation of the IPA budgets, impossibility to implement certain activities as planned in the initial AF due to the situation generated by the pandemic). The budget reductions were implemented in parallel with a negotiation with the ERDF PPs to take over certain activities to be implemented in the IPA countries under 20% ERDF activities. The savings obtained were reallocated to the following projects based on needs. No matter the scoring obtained all projects underwent the same condition clearing process related to the implementation of the IPA activities, in order to allow all the projects to obtain the impact in the IPA countries as planned in the AF.
- Preparation and implementation of the extension phase of the 2nd call projects. 15 out of the 22 second call projects applied for the extension phase, and included activities coming as a response to Covid-19 pandemic among which most relevant: development of a learning platform providing professionals involved in dementia care COVID-19 related information and practical solutions, activities combating the pandemic effects and increasing resilience and adaptability of SMEs, social enterprise and social finance ecosystems, activities combating the impact of COVID-19 in tourism sector etc. The MC approved the extensions requests in January 2021.
- Due to the fact that the PA3 - Culture and tourism project submitted within the 2nd PAC call was rejected, the MA/ JS in agreements with the EUSDR NC and DTP MC launched a new call using the same application rules as for the other PAC projects.

In terms of communication, DTP increased the online presence, since face to face events were not possible by using much more social media to advertise the Programme results. Furthermore 2 on-line Lead Partner seminars for the 2nd call extension and 3rd call have been organised. As in the previous years, DTP co-organised the Annual Forum together with EUSDR. Additionally, DTP published a new booklet Driving Change in the Danube Region: Successes 2014-2020.

Along with the main tasks, two Monitoring Committee (MC) meetings were organised fully online in May and October.

DTP also continues the programming exercise and organised in 2020 three task force meetings and one stakeholder consultation. By the end of 2020 the TF already selected the Policy and Specific Objectives to be addressed by the future DTP and was in an advanced stage in defining the focus of each SO and types of actions.

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS

3.1 Overview of the implementation

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
1	Innovative and socially responsible Danube region	In the past year, from a thematic point of view, PA1 unfolded towards topics such as creative industries in support of urban regeneration processes, innovative tools in improving home care services, equipping health care institutions with new methods and concepts for treating celiac disease patients, improving mobility for blind or partially blind citizens or supporting the labour market for women active in the forest industries or workers above 51 years old. This approach had the role to complement some of the initiatives already supported by the Programme. More exactly, significant progress was done in the following areas of intervention: smart specialization (Danube S3Cluster), intellectual property rights and patenting (KnowingIPR), dementia care (Indeed) or secondary school entrepreneurship (InnoSchool). The most significant key development which caused massive problems across all projects was the accelerated spread of the SarsCov2 virus which halted in their tracks most of the initial implementation plans. Faced with the effects of this pandemic, the projects had to massively overhaul their original agendas and adapt to virtual forms for project implementation. It is yet too soon to fully understand how this on-going situation affected the initially foreseen impact across DR territories and at the level of the target group. Another important aspect distressing the implementation of some PA1 projects was the continuous difficulties in designating the Moldovan and Ukrainian national systems which blocked the payments towards ENI stakeholders. This situation resulted in partner withdrawals, internal project reorganizations and vast amount of paper work.
1-MD	Innovative and socially responsible Danube region (ENI-MD)	In the 3rd Call projects there are 8 MD PPs involved.
1-UA	Innovative and socially responsible Danube region (ENI-UA)	In the 3rd Call projects there are 3 UA PPs involved.
2	Environment and culture responsible Danube region	In 2020, under PA2 there were altogether 26 projects under implementation approved in the framework of 2nd and 3rd calls for proposals (6 addressing water management and flood prevention issues; 12 dealing with cultural and natural heritage valorisation; 7 focusing on restoration and management of ecological corridors and 1 tackling environmental risk management issues). Significant contributions were made to improve the status of water management and flood prevention in the Danube River Basin (DRB) by finding effective and harmonised solutions for floodplain restoration in order to reduce flood risk (Danube Floodplain), harmonising the flood and ice forecasting system (DAREFFORT) and in developing and harmonising the sediment quality monitoring and assessment system (SIMONA). In connection to valorisation of natural heritage of the Danube Region projects advanced in joint cycling tourism route and product development along the Mura-Drava-Danube river area (AoE Bike Trail); addressing ecotourism along the Eurovelo cycle route network (EcoVeloTour), and introducing participatory integrated management of urban forests, as important natural heritage areas within Danube Cities (URBforDAN). In order to foster ecological connectivity in the Danube

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		Region projects progressed in managing habitat and repopulation of migratory fish species in main river corridors of Danube River (MEASURES); tackling fragmentation between protected areas along the Sava River and tributaries by managing invasive alien plant species (SAVA TIE). In the field of transnational environmental risk management the was only one project which started implementation in July 2020 to coordinate water contingency management in the Sava River Basin (WACOM).
2-MD	Environment and culture responsible Danube region (ENI-MD)	In the 3rd Call projects there are 3 MD PPs involved.
2-UA	Environment and culture responsible Danube region (ENI-UA)	In the 3rd Call projects there are 2 UA PPs involved.
3	Better connected and energy responsible Danube region	<p>In 2020 for PA3 the two second call projects were added to 7 new projects from the 3rd Call which started their implementation from the 1st July 2020. Within the priority, 4 projects addressing the specific objective: environmentally-friendly and safe transport systems and balanced accessibility of urban and rural areas and 5 projects the specific objective: Improve energy security and energy efficiency. One of the 2nd Call projects “RADAR” took the opportunity to extend its delivery by 6 months.</p> <p>All projects have been affected by the Covid 19 pandemic. This has entailed new ways of working and the increased use of virtual environments. Generally costs have declined in terms of travel and accommodation but all projects have found new ways to facilitate collaboration and have managed to maintain their focus on core outputs. A small number of partners, particularly from the 3rd Call projects have experienced difficulties financially and organisationally with re-structuring and operational changes which has led to withdrawal.</p>
3-MD	Better connected and energy responsible Danube region (ENI-MD)	In the 3rd Call projects there are 4 MD PPs involved.
3-UA	Better connected and energy responsible Danube region (ENI-UA)	In the 3rd Call projects there are 2 UA PPs involved.
4	Well governed Danube region	<p>For Priority 4 the year 2020 was characterized by a certain “implementation gap” due to finalized 1st CfP, 1st PAC CfP and SMF projects (only financial closure activities were going on in 2020 in relation to these projects) and the fact that under SO4.1. no 2nd CfP projects were approved (lack of funding). 2nd PAC CfP projects and 3rd CfP projects were approved in 2020 and started implementation only during the course of the year, which was slowed down to a certain degree by the delayed approval of 2nd PAC CfP projects and the COVID pandemic (both PAC and 3rd CfP projects), moreover.</p> <p>The only project under Priority 4 / SO4.1. with ongoing implementation activities during the entire year 2020 was the EUSDR DSP project, which managed to catch up delays occurred earlier (high fluctuation of staff) and successfully</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		managed the foreseen inclusion of the 2020 EUSDR presidency (Croatia) for organizing the EUSDR Annual Forum.
4-MD	Well governed Danube region (ENI-MD)	In the 3rd Call projects there are 2 MD PPs involved.
4-UA	Well governed Danube region (ENI-UA)	In the 3rd Call projects there are 3 UA PPs involved.
5	Technical Assistance	<p>In 2020 the TA beneficiaries financed under the priority 5 continued smoothly their activities related to Programme management, implementation, monitoring, control, communication and evaluation.</p> <p>Main activities performed in 2020:</p> <p>Call for proposals and project implementation: MC approval of 35 projects under 3rd Call and 15 extensions of the 2nd Call projects.</p> <p>Meetings and events: 2 MC on-line meetings, 1 NCP on-line meeting, 1 working group of controllers meeting, 2 Lead Partner seminars for the 2nd call extension and 3rd call); co-organisers of the 9th Annual Forum of EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR), on-line event, 21-22/10, along with the Croatian Presidency and the European Commission.</p> <p>Communication activities: RegioStars Award 2019, DTP project videos, Social media campaign – DTP project of the week, Final booklet, EWRC</p> <p>Day-to-day management of the DTP website www.interreg-danube.eu</p> <p>Audits: supporting performing the system audit by the AA and the audit on 52 operations by AESA.</p> <p>TA beneficiaries (NCPs) submitted the yearly TA Project Progress Report to the MA/JS by the end of April 2020, in line with the provisions laid down in the TA Agreement.</p>
5-MD	Technical Assistance (ENI-MD)	<p>Due to changes in the institution of the TA beneficiary the MD party did not sign the TA Agreement prepared and signed by the MA in 2020. The procedure shall re-start in 2021.</p> <p>ENI –MD TA expenditure was reported only for the MA/JS TA project.</p>
5-UA	Technical Assistance (ENI-UA)	<p>The TA Agreement with UA was under checking by the UA national authority in 2019, signature of the TA Agreement is expected also in 2021.</p> <p>ENI –UA TA expenditure was reported only for the MA/JS TA project.</p>

3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axes other than technical assistance

Priority axis	1 - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies, as well as fostering investment necessary for strengthening the crisis response capacities in health services

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 1.1b

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises	1,070.00	1,748.00	Innovation audits of Agro-Food clusters (DanubeS3Cluster project): following the process of performing the 200 innovation audits the PPs, the cluster managers & members operating in Agro-Food acquired new innovation management knowledge and improved their business innovation skills.
S	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises	1,070.00	1,070.00	
F	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	Enterprises	530.00	922.00	SMEs in collaborative partnerships (MOVECO project). As part of the pilot phase the project partners tested a range of new collaboration and match-making formats and tools. As result 200 SMEs in the DR engaged in new green partnerships with public or private research and innovation partners, contributing to make their business activity more resource-efficient and to reducing the innovation gap across Danube Region.
S	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	Enterprises	530.00	481.00	The forecast of 2019 and 2018 has been corrected. Following the audit on the programme indicators it was discovered that due to an error in eMS system the target value was not generated correctly and following the fixing of the error the targets have been updated.
F	P01	Number of strategies for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	51.00	55.00	Danube S3 Cluster Strategy lays the ground for a transnational cluster strategy and identifies the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and potential of agro-food clusters in the Danube region. The strategy was tested through the implementation of 5 transnational pilot initiatives. For producing this output the project cooperated with JRC and EUSDR bodies in order to ensure valid contribution to three actions of the Priority Areas (PA) 7, 8 and 9 of the revised EUSDR action plan (2020).
S	P01	Number of strategies for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	51.00	50.00	During the pandemic period the MA/ JS allowed flexibility to the projects to adjust modify certain outputs (only possibility to increase their value) through minor changes, in order to adapt to the changing situation therefore in some cases the forecast of the application form is lower than the actual achievement (e.g. using the savings for development of additional tools, extensive use of on-line meetings for workshops etc.)
F	P02	Number of tools for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	114.00	116.00	KnowiNG HUB Infrastructure (Knowing IPR Project) This output is offering service support in the field of patent data and patent analytics for better innovation and patenting activities and IPR management for users across Danube Region. It contributes to one of the key PA7 targets (to increase number of EPO and PCT patent applications in the Danube region) by providing access to patent data and knowledge on how to understand patent data. Additionally, it raises awareness on the importance of intellectual property rights. This Output works towards also PA9 targets by improving skills in the fields of innovation and entrepreneurship. Most importantly, this output meets the needs of PA8 by performing trainings and improving framework conditions for SMEs in areas where competitive infrastructure is missing.
S	P02	Number of tools for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	114.00	115.00	During the pandemic period the MA/ JS allowed flexibility to the projects to adjust/ modify certain outputs (only possibility to increase their target value) through minor changes, in order to adapt to the changing situation therefore in some cases the forecast of the application form is lower than the actual achievement (e.g. using the savings for development of additional tools, extensive use of on-line meetings for workshops etc.) and also the CP targets are overachieved.
F	P03	Number of pilot actions for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	129.00	137.00	Pilot actions on campaigning models for CF (Crowdstream project). The objective of Pilot Action was to provide support to Crowdfunding users in developing an launching their Crowdfunding campaigns as a new, innovative form, for business financing in the Danube Region.
S	P03	Number of pilot actions for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	129.00	124.00	During the pandemic period the MA/ JS allowed flexibility to the projects to adjust modify certain outputs (only possibility to increase their value) through minor changes, in order to adapt to the changing situation therefore in some cases the forecast of the application form is lower than the actual achievement (e.g. using the savings for development of additional tools, extensive use of on-line meetings for workshops etc.)
F	P04	Number of strategies for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented.	Number	59.00	11.00	Strategy to boost innovation and entrepreneurship (DASPSPACE project): This strategy is the most tangible output of the project and was developed in order to further advance the environment for innovation & entrepreneurship in the targeted countries by promoting synergies among business, education & public sector. The strategy has a transnational value, giving its applicability in different contexts and defining common framework for innovation in the Danube Region.
S	P04	Number of strategies for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented.	Number	59.00	59.00	
F	P05	Number of tools for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	Number	108.00	51.00	Database of Good Practices for fostering YWE (Women in Business project) A database on identified good practices (GPs) for fostering YoungWomanEntrepreneurs was established with the aim to provide a knowledge base to Policy Agenda for YWE Support development. This database is an essential tool for national public authorities, international interest group organization and policy decision makers involved in supporting the entrepreneurship in the Danube region.
S	P05	Number of tools for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	Number	108.00	108.00	
F	P06	Number of pilot actions for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	Number	63.00	12.00	Social Impact Investment Lab pilot (Finance4SocialChange) This pilot was built as a Danube region-wide social enterprise acceleration model that aims to scale-up social entrepreneurs' capacities for sustainable market entry & growth. In addition, it looks to bring knowledge and access to various models for social financing. This output placed emphasize on shaping business attitudes and perceptions towards more sustainable business operation.
S	P06	Number of pilot actions for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening	Number	63.00	63.00	

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
		entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented				
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	553.00	571.00	Knowledge transfer trainings (R12Integrate project) At the final stage of the project, a knowledge transfer training (1/PP country; with participants from all DR countries) was organized to share and integrate the results within and outside the partnership. As the learning interactions in the project, they covered the knowledge transfer on all developed new tools and it supported the consolidation of the results at all Research Infrastructures within DR and preparation of the participating stakeholders to implement similar initiatives in their home countries. Policy Learning Dialogue Workshops: (Danube Chance2.0): Policy Learning Dialogue Workshops are key policy learning interactions between local, regional, national policymakers, government agencies, and EUSDR Steering Group members.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	553.00	550.00	During the pandemic period the MA/ JS allowed flexibility to the projects to adjust modify certain outputs (only possibility to increase their value) through minor changes, in order to adapt to the changing situation therefore in some cases the forecast of the application form is lower then the actual achievement (e.g. using the savings for development of additional tools, extensive use of on-line meetings for workshops etc.)

(1)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	928.00	150.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	1,065.00	1,065.00	865.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	141.00	33.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	481.00	481.00	466.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P01	Number of strategies for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	41.00	27.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P01	Number of strategies for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	46.00	46.00	38.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P02	Number of tools for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	84.00	64.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P02	Number of tools for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	113.00	113.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P03	Number of pilot actions for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	40.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P03	Number of pilot actions for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	123.00	123.00	115.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P04	Number of strategies for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented.	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P04	Number of strategies for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented.	34.00	34.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P05	Number of tools for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	31.00	17.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P05	Number of tools for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	92.00	92.00	66.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P06	Number of pilot actions for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P06	Number of pilot actions for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	20.00	20.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	262.00	136.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	495.00	495.00	420.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	1 - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies, as well as fostering investment necessary for strengthening the crisis response capacities in health services
Specific objective	1.1 - Improve framework conditions for innovation (short title). Improve the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions and policy instruments for research & innovation to ensure a broader access to knowledge for the development of new technologies and the social dimension of innovation

Table 1: Result indicators - 1.1b.1.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
1.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve framework for research and innovation (composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.68	2014	Increasing intensity (qualitative target)		3.33	For the update of the baseline values for this indicator the response rate was very low, only two responses received from the updated list of stakeholders, despite the efforts of the Programme (MA/ JS, NCPs) to ensure a sufficient number of responses.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
1.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve framework for research and innovation (composite indicator)				4.21				

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve framework for research and innovation (composite indicator)		-		3.68

Priority axis	1 - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies, as well as fostering investment necessary for strengthening the crisis response capacities in health services
Specific objective	1.2 - Increase competences for business and social innovation (short title). Foster innovative learning systems to increase competences of employees in the business sector, to strengthen entrepreneurial culture and learning contributing to better meet social needs and the delivery of services in the general interest.

Table 1: Result indicators - 1.1b.1.2

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
1.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to increase competences for business and social innovation (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.22	2014	Increasing intensity (qualitative target)		4.97	Despite the efforts of the Programme (the stakeholders list has been updated, involvement of the NCPs in requesting the answer to the questionnaire) in case of SO 1.2 information provided by the respondents was not sufficient to formulate the actual value of the indicator.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
1.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to increase competences for business and social innovation (survey based composite indicator)				4.97				

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to increase competences for business and social innovation (survey based composite indicator)				3.22

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6b - Investing in the water sector to meet the requirements of the Union's environmental acquis and to address needs, identified by the Member States, for investment that goes beyond those requirements

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.6b

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	39.00	23.00	International Stakeholders' Workshop on Sediment Balance Experts trained at Sediment Management Workshop - The scope of the international workshop was to train and inform the 48 participating stakeholders on using a good sediment data analysis methodology developed and recommended by the DanubeSediment project The workshop offered the opportunity to the project partners and the international experts a live, transnational discussion opportunity of the participants exchanging their knowledge and finally agreeing in the future sediment analysis methodology within the Danube River Basin.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	39.00	40.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all DTP projects approved are implementing learning interactions contributing to the output indicator
F	P08	Number of strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	4.00	2.00	Public Involvement and Participation Strategy was developed by the JOINTISZA project in order to help the development of the Integrated Tisza RBM Plan to ensure that engagement takes place at points where it can influence the planning, as well as to create a supportive social environment for the implementation of the Joint Programme of Measures of the ITRBMP.
S	P08	Number of strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	4.00	4.00	
F	P09	Number of tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	64.00	25.00	Common vision of cooperation - recommendations for improvement of the flood and ice forecasting systems, identified gaps and bottlenecks, as well as the report on elaboration of the forecasting conditions were prepared by the DAREFFORT project in order to eliminate the shortcomings of the existing forecasting practices as well as to improve the exchange and availability of hydrological and meteorological data among the countries in the Danube River Basin. The common vision output provides the long-term development directions for the national hydrometeorological authorities of the Danube Region countries (most of them involved in the project implementation) and an important contribution to the work of ICPDR in developing the Flood Risk Management Plan for the Danube River Basin District 2021.
S	P09	Number of tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	64.00	65.00	During the pandemic period the MA/ JS allowed flexibility to the projects to adjust/ modify certain outputs (only possibility to increase their target value) through minor changes, in order to adapt to the changing situation therefore in some cases the forecast of the application form is lower than the actual achievement (e.g. using the savings for development of additional tools, extensive use of on-line meetings for workshops etc.) and also the CP targets are overachieved.
F	P10	Number of pilot actions for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	23.00	2.00	In the JOINTISZA project a process oriented spatial decision support tool for urban water management was developed and tested in two pilot areas in the Tisa River Basin area. Pilot action on limited external model access - an innovative "black-box" model was developed within the DAREFFORT project and applied between the national hydrological forecasting services of Serbia and Romania giving external access to the downstream (RO) country to the forecasting system of the upstream country (RS) without harming the sovereignty of the national systems, allowing better analysis of scenario evolution from upstream of Danube River and to estimate with greater lead time the possible evolution of flood risk. The successful experiences proved to improve flood forecasting capabilities between neighbouring (upstream-downstream) countries.
S	P10	Number of pilot actions for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	23.00	23.00	

(I)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	9.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	27.00	27.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P08	Number of strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P08	Number of strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	3.00	3.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P09	Number of tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	6.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P09	Number of tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	42.00	42.00	22.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P10	Number of pilot actions for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P10	Number of pilot actions for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	12.00	12.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6b - Investing in the water sector to meet the requirements of the Union's environmental acquis and to address needs, identified by the Member States, for investment that goes beyond those requirements
Specific objective	2.1 - Strengthen transnational water management and flood risk prevention (short title). Strengthen joint and integrated approaches to further develop and implement River Basin Management Plans in the Partner States in line with the overall Danube River Basin Management Plan in order to improve transnational water management and flood risk prevention contributing to the sustainable provision of ecosystem services.

Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6b.2.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
2.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve transnational water management and flood risk prevention (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.76	2014	Increasing level of cooperation (qualitative target)		3.08	Despite the efforts of the Programme to increase the number of respondents, only 5 filled in questionnaires were received, out of which 2 only were valid which makes any content-assessment not relevant.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
2.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve transnational water management and flood risk prevention (survey based composite indicator)				3.23				

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve transnational water management and flood risk prevention (survey based composite indicator)		-		3.76

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.6c

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	227.00	151.00	Two trainings were organised within the ART NOUVEAU project, one for architects and construction conservers on specific issues in connection to architectural specificities and conservation aspects of ART NOUVEAU architectures and the other one for cultural property managers about the problem of managing and maintaining Art Nouveau heritage buildings. CultPlatForm_21 project organised the third workshop "Creating Memorable Experience" on exchanging experience and lessons learnt within the WG Culture dealing with thematic issues concerning existing and potential cultural routes, enlargement strategy and coordination of the mapping process.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	227.00	227.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all DTP projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator.
F	P11	Number of strategies for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	72.00	33.00	Ecotourism strategies - Regional ecotourism strategies were elaborated in 5 partner regions of the EcoVeloTour project including the novel approach of ecosystem service framework concept in tourism as well as finding synergies with the potential EuroVelo route developments, while opening up new destinations for cyclist ecotourism through conscious mobility planning. Transnational Jewish Cultural Heritage Thematic Initiatives Action Plan - The Action Plan was developed in cooperation of 8 mid-size partner cities of the REDISCOVER project, which defines how the PPs together with stakeholders and business partners will implement elements of cross-country thematic routes to retrace and capitalize on their Jewish Cultural Heritage to be integrated into wider national and European touristic offer.
S	P11	Number of strategies for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	72.00	72.00	
F	P12	Number of tools for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	252.00	121.00	Amazon of Europe Bike Trail Nature Oriented Visitor Guidance and Mapping – The document elaborated by the AoE Bike Trail project is a guidance to harmonize the behaviour of cyclists with the needs of wildlife and nature to avoid conflicts between cyclists and nature and to create benefits for cyclists, nature conservation and local people, as well as the summary of the ecological sensitivity analysis of the complete area of the future 5-country Biosphere Reserve Mura-Drava-Danube, serving as planning tool for any future bike trail-related development. Integrated Multi-use Management Plans for UPF - A unique, innovative tool was developed by the URBforDAN project in relation to urban forest areas of 7 PP Cities through participatory planning and decision-making process based on Ecosystem mapping/valuation and intensified cooperation between key actors.
S	P12	Number of tools for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	252.00	252.00	
F	P13	Number of pilot actions for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	111.00	37.00	Iron Age Danube: In order to test strategies, action plans and methodologies for the implementation capacity, revitalisation programmes for 8 single micro-regions of the partner areas were developed. The revitalisation plans defined recommendations for the management of archaeological heritage in the regions, as well ss. new micro-regional archaeological routes were proposed and one for each equipped with boards.
S	P13	Number of pilot actions for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	111.00	111.00	

(I)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	86.00	50.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	142.00	142.00	120.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P11	Number of strategies for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	8.00	3.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P11	Number of strategies for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	42.00	42.00	33.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P12	Number of tools for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	35.00	14.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P12	Number of tools for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	148.00	148.00	116.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P13	Number of pilot actions for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P13	Number of pilot actions for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	41.00	41.00	38.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage
Specific objective	2.2 - Foster sustainable use of natural and cultural heritage and resources (short title). Strengthen joint and integrated approaches to preserve and manage the diversity of natural and cultural heritage and resources in the Danube region as a basis for sustainable development and growth strategies.

Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6c.2.2

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
2.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen sustainable use of natural and cultural heritage and resources (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	Established through a survey among selected key actors	2014	3.87		5.35	No valid relevant responses have been received despite the efforts of the Programme to receive a higher number of respondents.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
2.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen sustainable use of natural and cultural heritage and resources (survey based composite indicator)				5.35				

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen sustainable use of natural and cultural heritage and resources (survey based composite indicator)		-		3.87

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.6d

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	82.00	17.00	Training on Information system on pests & diseases – an online training was held by the REFOCuS project for practitioners from the Mura-Drava-Danube riparian countries demonstrating the practical application of the mobile and web based DanubeForestHealth tool, a pest & disease riparian forest information system which is developed by the project. National drought seminars took place in all 10 countries participating in the DriDanube project The purpose was to bring together a wide range of national stakeholders, to present the main products of the project (Drought Watch drought monitoring tool; unified methodologies for drought impacts and drought risk assessment; Danube Drought Strategy) to show and discuss with them how the newly developed tools can be used and how they can benefit from the newly acquired information and processes.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	82.00	72.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all DTP projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator.
F	P14	Number of strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	20.00	4.00	The DANUBE FREE SKY Strategy developed in cooperation of nature protection organisations and powerline operators and the strategic document should support now to anchor the DANUBE FREE SKY initiative on policy level, along the Danube. It is the very first initiative with a clear focus on rivers as migration routes, which have to be considered as high risk areas for bird collision at power lines.
S	P14	Number of strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	20.00	20.00	
F	P15	Number of tools for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	66.00	21.00	Methodology for Identifying Ecological Corridors - The methodology, developed by the ConnectGREEN project is the basis for identifying the ecological corridors in the Carpathians in a harmonised way by using large carnivores as umbrella species. It is to be used at the regional - Carpathian level, and to be adapted, as needed, to every country's needs, where so far various approaches were used, even within a country sometime. The methodology will be embedded as part of the Strategy on Ecological Corridors into the frame of the Carpathian Convention through its parties. Transnational seed transfer zones – The document developed by the REFOCuS project includes the methodology for developing transnational seed transfer models for ten most important tree species of south eastern European riparian forests under current conditions and under two future climatic scenario
S	P15	Number of tools for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	66.00	72.00	During the pandemic period the MA/ JS allowed flexibility to the projects to adjust/ modify certain outputs (only possibility to increase their target value) through minor changes, in order to adapt to the changing situation therefore in some cases the forecast of the application form is lower than the actual achievement (e.g. using the savings for development of additional tools, extensive use of on-line meetings for workshops etc.) and also the CP targets are overachieved.
F	P16	Number of pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	70.00	28.00	Ex-situ gene stocks of Danube sturgeons – Pilot restocking and genetic analysis of wild specimens and captive brood-stock of Sterlets and Russian sturgeons were carried out in the frame of the MEASURES project to strengthen their natural populations, as the ex-situ preservation is the cornerstone of conservation of critical endangered sturgeons since their natural population declined continuously and their self-sustainability is in question. Until the complete habitat restoration which allows these species to survive and reproduce in natural conditions, their conservation is relying primarily on ex-situ preservation.
S	P16	Number of pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	70.00	70.00	
F	P17	Number of strategies for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	5.00	1.00	The DriDanube project developed the Danube Drought Strategy which aims to build the capacity of the Danube region to overcome common deficiencies in coping with drought, and thus help switch from reactive to proactive drought management approach. It primarily targets public bodies and competent authorities responsible for national drought planning to build country resilience to drought.
S	P17	Number of strategies for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	5.00	5.00	
F	P18	Number of tools for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	3.00	Out of the two projects connected to this output indicators the DriDanube project delivered all its tool outputs in previous years, the WACOM project will develop tools at a later project implementation phase.
S	P18	Number of tools for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	7.00	
F	P19	Number of pilot actions for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	2.00	The « Drought Watch » drought monitoring tool, developed by the project, was tested over 5 partner countries of DriDanube consortium through performing comparison-analysis of near-real-time Drought Watch products with archived national ground measurements data or other already-established (reliable) national drought monitoring products. The observed findings from the analysis revealed that satellite data provided in Drought Watch can be useful for drought monitoring since SWI and NDVI anomalies are generally similar to the ground data information.
S	P19	Number of pilot actions for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	7.00	

(I)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	9.00	4.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	39.00	39.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P14	Number of strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P14	Number of strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	12.00	12.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P15	Number of tools for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P15	Number of tools for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	58.00	58.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P16	Number of pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	16.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P16	Number of pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	58.00	58.00	35.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P17	Number of strategies for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
S	P17	Number of strategies for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P18	Number of tools for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P18	Number of tools for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	3.00	3.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P19	Number of pilot actions for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P19	Number of pilot actions for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure
Specific objective	2.3 - Foster the restoration and management of ecological corridors (short title). Strengthen effective approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands of transnational relevance to contribute to the better conservation status of ecosystems of European relevance.

Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6d.2.3

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
2.3	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to foster restoration and management of ecological corridors (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.55	2014	Increasing level of cooperation (qualitative target)		6.03	Despite the efforts of the Programme to increase the number of respondents, only 5 filled in questionnaires were received, out of which 2 only were valid which makes any content-assessment not relevant.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
2.3	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to foster restoration and management of ecological corridors (survey based composite indicator)				3.03				

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.3	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to foster restoration and management of ecological corridors (survey based composite indicator)		-		3.55

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure
Specific objective	2.4 - Improve preparedness for environmental risk management (short title). Establish and develop a more effective governance system for environmental protection addressing emergency situations and improve the preparedness of public authorities and civil protection organisation contributing to the reduction of risks and impact on ecosystem services, biodiversity and human health.

Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6d.2.4

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
2.4	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve preparedness for environmental risk management (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.65	2014	Increasing level of cooperation (qualitative target)		4.44	Despite the efforts of the programme to increase the number of respondents, only 4 filled in questionnaires were received, out of which 2 only were valid which makes any content-assessment not relevant.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
2.4	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve preparedness for environmental risk management (survey based composite indicator)				3.35				

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.4	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve preparedness for environmental risk management (survey based composite indicator)		-		3.65

Priority axis	3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region
Investment priority	7c - Developing and improving environmentally-friendly (including low noise) and low-carbon transport systems, including inland waterways and maritime transport, ports, multimodal links and airport infrastructure, in order to promote sustainable regional and local mobility

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 3.7c

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	93.00	50.00	RADAR Project: Training courses, 1 in each partner country + Montenegro. Training carried out presenting road safety data in the region, - demonstrated data and methods for ranking infrastructure road safety priorities. Training explained road design features that increase the frequency and severity of crashes. Study visits- Technical representatives and experts in the region undertook study visits on best practice road safety solutions, 1 per each of the 4 thematic project areas, concretely implemented in selected locations.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	93.00	92.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all DTP projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator.
F	P20	No of strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	71.00	45.00	Grendel project: IWT Fleet Modernisation Strategy includes defined actions and measures addressing fleet modernisation, both related to vessels and logistics & transport management processes. This contributes to the creation of a more favourable framework for Danube fleet modernisation with well-designed cooperative measures.
S	P20	No of strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	71.00	70.00	
F	P21	No of tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	151.00	107.00	GRENDDEL project: Model State Aid Scheme & public support measures. The development of this provides a template for participating ministries to develop & implement national public funding schemes and targeted financial incentives for modernising the fleet and for fostering human resources in the sector.
S	P21	No of tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	151.00	150.00	
F	P22	No of pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	78.00	63.00	CHESTNUT project: Joint pilot actions- included feasibility studies, regional/local campaigns, small investments in infrastructure. RDC Koper prepared a multimodal transport scheme in the coastal strip of FUA. RDA Centru's study focused on the design of an integrated vision of mobility along the Mures Valley. LIR elaborated a multimodal transport system, based on usage of e Bicycle, integrated with public transport. Prague9 installed 2 bike-sharing stations based on a feasibility study. Dimitrovgrad Municipality installed 2 bike-sharing stations, 4 separate bike stands with 20 e-bikes.
S	P22	No of pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	78.00	77.00	

(I)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	32.00	13.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	66.00	66.00	45.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P20	No of strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	30.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P20	No of strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	56.00	56.00	45.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P21	No of tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	68.00	23.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P21	No of tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	109.00	109.00	93.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P22	No of pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	19.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P22	No of pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	73.00	73.00	64.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region
Investment priority	7c - Developing and improving environmentally-friendly (including low noise) and low-carbon transport systems, including inland waterways and maritime transport, ports, multimodal links and airport infrastructure, in order to promote sustainable regional and local mobility
Specific objective	3.1 - Support environmentally-friendly and safe transport systems and balanced accessibility of urban and rural areas (short title). Improve planning, coordination and practical solutions for an environmentally-friendly, low-carbon and safer transport network and services in the programme area contributing to a balanced accessibility of urban and rural areas.

Table 1: Result indicators - 3.7c.3.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
3.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen environmentally-friendly, safe and balanced transport systems (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	4.05	2014	Increasing level of cooperation (qualitative target)		3.94	For Specific objective 3.1 6 valid responses have been received.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
3.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen environmentally-friendly, safe and balanced transport systems (survey based composite indicator)				3.46				

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen environmentally-friendly, safe and balanced transport systems (survey based composite indicator)		-		4.05

Priority axis	3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region
Investment priority	7e - Improving energy efficiency and security of supply through the development of smart energy distribution, storage and transmission systems and through the integration of distributed generation from renewable sources

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 3.7e

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	45.00	16.00	ENERGY BARGE EU-wide transnational learning conference transnational learning conference, including a dedicated workshop for ports for informing and exchanging views with relevant stakeholders of the region was held. The experiences were shared with other ports and market actors interested in starting or expanding their biomass business using Danube ports as logistic hubs.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	45.00	45.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all DTP projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator.
F	P23	No of strategies to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	51.00	7.00	Energy Barge - Transnational energy security strategy strategy on how to improve energy security through green biomass logistics.
S	P23	No of strategies to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	51.00	51.00	
F	P24	No of tools to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	30.00	8.00	3SMART: Cost-benefit analysis of the EMS was carried out for 5 pilots, cumulating the effects of both the building and the grid-side energy management on each pilot location. The broader environmental effects were considered in order to reach the correct ratio of costs and benefits to make a driver for regulatory set-ups change in the Danube region.
S	P24	No of tools to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	30.00	30.00	
F	P25	Number of pilot actions to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	9.00	14.00	3Smart: Pilot-deployed modular energy management platform. Pilots demonstrated the feasibility and effectiveness of the developed modular energy management platform (Developed modular cross-spanning energy management platform on the building and on the grid side in Croatia, Slovenia, Austria, Bosnia and Herzegovina and Hungary).
S	P25	Number of pilot actions to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	9.00	9.00	During the pandemic period the MA/ JS allowed flexibility to the projects to adjust modify certain outputs (only possibility to increase their value) through minor changes, in order to adapt to the changing situation therefore in some cases the forecast of the application form is lower then the actual achievement (e.g. using the savings for development of additional tools, pilots, extensive use of on-line meetings for workshops etc.)

(I)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	8.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	14.00	14.00	14.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P23	No of strategies to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P23	No of strategies to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	7.00	7.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P24	No of tools to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	5.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P24	No of tools to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	7.00	7.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P25	Number of pilot actions to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P25	Number of pilot actions to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	9.00	9.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region
Investment priority	7e - Improving energy efficiency and security of supply through the development of smart energy distribution, storage and transmission systems and through the integration of distributed generation from renewable sources
Specific objective	3.2 - Improve energy security and energy efficiency (short title). Contribute to the energy security and energy efficiency of the region by supporting the development of joint regional storage and distribution solutions and strategies for increasing energy efficiency and renewable energy usage.

Table 1: Result indicators - 3.7e.3.2

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
3.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to contribute to energy security and energy efficiency (survey based composite indicator)	Ordinal scale	3.90	2014	Increasing intensity of cooperation		4.53	Despite the efforts of the programme to increase the number of respondents, only 1 valid response was received which makes any content-assessment not relevant.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
3.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to contribute to energy security and energy efficiency (survey based composite indicator)				4.68				

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to contribute to energy security and energy efficiency (survey based composite indicator)		-		3.90

Priority axis	4 - Well governed Danube region
Investment priority	11a - Enhancing institutional capacity of public authorities and stakeholders and efficient public administration through actions to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administrations and public services related to the implementation of the ERDF, and in support of actions under the ESF to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administration

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 4.11a

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	111.00	76.00	DSP - The DSP together with the EUSDR presidencies supported the organization of 2 meetings between the 4 macro regional strategies, INTERACT, ESPON and DG REGIO with the scope to support the exchange of best practices.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	111.00	129.00	During the pandemic period the MA/ JS allowed flexibility to the projects to adjust/ modify certain outputs (only possibility to increase their target value) through minor changes, in order to adapt to the changing situation therefore in some cases the forecast of the application form is lower than the actual achievement (e.g. using the savings for development of additional tools, extensive use of on-line meetings for workshops etc.) and also the CP targets are overachieved.
F	P26	Number of strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	116.00	69.00	AgriGo4Cities - Transnational participatory Urban Participatory Agriculture governance plan for fighting social exclusion and poverty in cities of Danube region. DRIM - Strategy for transnational info sharing to promote migrants' economic integration is aimed at building public institutions' strategic and foresight capabilities to understand the need for addressing migrants' economic integration through effective transnational info sharing.
S	P26	Number of strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	116.00	87.00	
F	P27	Number of tools for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	84.00	51.00	ATTRACTIVE DANUBE - Handbook for policy planners on applying Territorial Attractiveness Monitoring and Planning (TAMP). The Handbook for policy planners on applying TAMP will be a practical tool which will guide the target groups on how to use and interpret the territorial attractiveness data and indicators to better plan future development and respond to societal challenges in their countries. The Handbook will be prepared in English, translated to national languages and printed in 11 countries, to assure good understanding of the TAMP by the target groups in their mother tongue.
S	P27	Number of tools for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	84.00	64.00	
F	P28	Number of pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	80.00	21.00	EDU-LAB - The envisaged new Danubian governance model aiming to enhance the labour market relevance of higher education will be practically implemented in 3 countries by installing a Coordination Point in each country as pilot action: Slovakia, Bulgaria and - Serbia. The pilot implementations of the action plans in 3 sites have experimental nature which aims at testing, evaluating and demonstrating the feasibility and effectiveness of the developed framework.
S	P28	Number of pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	80.00	85.00	During the pandemic period the MA/ JS allowed flexibility to the projects to adjust/ modify certain outputs (only possibility to increase their target value) through minor changes, in order to adapt to the changing situation therefore in some cases the forecast of the application form is lower than the actual achievement (e.g. using the savings for development of additional tools, extensive use of on-line meetings for workshops etc.) and also the CP targets are overachieved.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	53.00	35.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	61.00	61.00	61.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P26	Number of strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	7.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P26	Number of strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	68.00	68.00	68.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P27	Number of tools for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	45.00	38.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P27	Number of tools for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	59.00	59.00	53.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P28	Number of pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P28	Number of pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	22.00	22.00	22.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	4 - Well governed Danube region
Investment priority	11a - Enhancing institutional capacity of public authorities and stakeholders and efficient public administration through actions to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administrations and public services related to the implementation of the ERDF, and in support of actions under the ESF to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administration
Specific objective	4.1 - Improve institutional capacities to tackle major societal challenges (short title). Strengthen multilevel- and transnational governance and institutional capacities and provide viable institutional and legal frameworks for more effective, wider and deeper transnational cooperation across the Danube region in areas with major societal challenges.

Table 1: Result indicators - 4.11a.4.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
4.1	Intensity of cooperation of institutional actors and other stakeholders in the programme area in order to tackle major societal challenges (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	4.14	2014	Increasing intensity of cooperation (qualitative target)		3.25	Despite the efforts of the Programme to increase the number of respondents, only 1 valid response was received which makes any content-assessment not relevant.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
4.1	Intensity of cooperation of institutional actors and other stakeholders in the programme area in order to tackle major societal challenges (survey based composite indicator)								

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
4.1	Intensity of cooperation of institutional actors and other stakeholders in the programme area in order to tackle major societal challenges (survey based composite indicator)		-		4.14

Priority axis	4 - Well governed Danube region
Investment priority	11c - Developing and coordinating macro-regional and sea-basin strategies (ETC-TN)

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 4.11c

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	P29	Number of EUSDR Priority Areas financed	Number	12.00	12.00	PAC support continued after the finalisation of the 1st call thus ensuring the continuity of the PAC support
S	P29	Number of EUSDR Priority Areas financed	Number	12.00	12.00	
F	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	Number	50.00	19.00	
S	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	Number	50.00	19.00	
F	P31	EUSDR Strategy Point implemented	Number	1.00	1.00	
S	P31	EUSDR Strategy Point implemented	Number	1.00	1.00	

(1)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P29	Number of EUSDR Priority Areas financed	12.00	12.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P29	Number of EUSDR Priority Areas financed	12.00	12.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	19.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	19.00	19.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P31	EUSDR Strategy Point implemented	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P31	EUSDR Strategy Point implemented	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	4 - Well governed Danube region
Investment priority	11c - Developing and coordinating macro-regional and sea-basin strategies (ETC-TN)
Specific objective	4.2 - Support to the governance and implementation of the EUSDR (short title). Improve the governance system and the capabilities and capacities of public institutions and key actors involved in complex transnational project development to implement the EUSDR in a more effective way.

Table 1: Result indicators - 4.11c.4.2

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
4.2	The status of management capacities of Priority Area Coordinators (PAC) to effectively implement EUSDR goals, targets and key action (survey composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.59	2014	Improved capacities of PACs (qualitative target)		5.22	The response rate was satisfactory in case of this SO (15 valid responses were received).

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
4.2	The status of management capacities of Priority Area Coordinators (PAC) to effectively implement EUSDR goals, targets and key action (survey composite indicator)				4.68				

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
4.2	The status of management capacities of Priority Area Coordinators (PAC) to effectively implement EUSDR goals, targets and key action (survey composite indicator)		-		3.59

Priority axes for technical assistance

Priority axis	5 - Technical Assistance
---------------	--------------------------

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 5.Technical Assistance

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	P5.1	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	Number	147.00	166.00	First, second call and third call of (normal) projects, PAC (1st call 12 projects finalised, 2nd call 11 projects on-going), DSP and SMF projects have been approved and altogether with the TA Project Plans 115 projects were on going or finalised in 2020.
S	P5.1	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	Number	147.00	147.00	
F	P5.2	Number of major publicity events (P)	Number	8.00	7.00	The Danube Transnational Programme co-organised the 9th edition of the Annual Forum of the EU Strategy for the Danube region (online). The DTP was directly in charge of one workshop as side event.
S	P5.2	Number of major publicity events (P)	Number	8.00	8.00	
F	P5.3	Number of compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start (P)	Number	10.00	19.00	LP seminars for 3rd call projects, as well as LP seminar for the 2nd Call extension phase were organised on line.
S	P5.3	Number of compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start (P)	Number	10.00	10.00	

(1)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P5.1	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	166.00	115.00	74.00	74.00	0.00	0.00
S	P5.1	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	147.00	147.00	147.00	147.00	147.00	0.00
F	P5.2	Number of major publicity events (P)	6.00	5.00	4.00	2.00	1.00	0.00
S	P5.2	Number of major publicity events (P)	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	0.00
F	P5.3	Number of compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start (P)	17.00	14.00	8.00	3.00	1.00	0.00
S	P5.3	Number of compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start (P)	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	5 - Technical Assistance
Specific objective	5.1 - Ensure the efficient and smooth implementation of the Danube Transnational Programme.

Table 1: Result indicators - 5.5.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
-	Not applicable since the contribution of ERDF funds for TA does not exceed EUR 15,000,000 (acc. to Commission Implementing Regulation 288/2014, Annex II)	-						

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
-	Not applicable since the contribution of ERDF funds for TA does not exceed EUR 15,000,000 (acc. to Commission Implementing Regulation 288/2014, Annex II)								

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
-	Not applicable since the contribution of ERDF funds for TA does not exceed EUR 15,000,000 (acc. to Commission Implementing Regulation 288/2014, Annex II)				

3.3 Table 3: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone for 2018 total	Final target (2023) total	2020	Observations
1	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	4,945,665.00	63,923,417.00	38,337,690.74	
1	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	20	553.00	550.00	
1	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0	553.00	571.00	
1-MD	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	0	1,391,293.00	198,566.54	
1-UA	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	0	1,179,642.00	0.00	
2	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	6,246,985.00	92,154,204.00	44,663,650.95	
2	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	22	343.00	339.00	
2	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0	343.00	191.00	
2-MD	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	0	925,633.00	0.00	
2-UA	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	0	1,299,812.00	0.00	
3	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	3,937,435	49,200,684.00	32,483,943.14	
3	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	14	138.00	137.00	
3	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0	138.00	66.00	
3-MD	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	0	725,213.00	51,772.48	
3-UA	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	0	667,545.00	0.00	
4	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	3,571,434.00	39,211,056.00	20,558,348.18	
4	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	14	111.00	129.00	
4	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0	111.00	76.00	
4	O	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	Number	0	50.00	19.00	
4-MD	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	0	2,251,980.00	124,562.80	
4-UA	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	0	2,147,120.00	0.00	

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
1	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	28,377,245.20	10,891,131.00	820,239.37	0.00	0.00
1	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	495.00	495.00	427.00	427.00	0.00
1	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	262.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1-MD	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1-UA	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	30,761,183.16	11,724,368.00	1,243,602.49	0.00	0.00
2	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	208.00	208.00	144.00	144.00	0.00
2	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	96.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-MD	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-UA	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	27,470,214.35	11,582,421.00	706,566.51	0.00	0.00
3	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	80.00	80.00	68.00	68.00	0.00
3	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	39.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3-MD	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3-UA	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	14,439,942.17	6,843,771.00	421,398.18	0.00	0.00
4	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	64.00	64.00	64.00	64.00	0.00
4	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	53.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	O	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	Number	19.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4-MD	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4-UA	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2014
1	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	0.00
1	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	0.00

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2014
1	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0.00
1-MD	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	0.00
1-UA	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	0.00
2	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	0.00
2	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	0.00
2	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0.00
2-MD	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	0.00
2-UA	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	0.00
3	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	0.00
3	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	0.00
3	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0.00
3-MD	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	0.00
3-UA	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	0.00
4	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	0.00
4	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	0.00
4	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0.00
4	O	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	Number	0.00
4-MD	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	0.00
4-UA	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	0.00

3.4. Financial data

Table 4: Financial information at priority axis and programme level

As set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and table 16 of model for cooperation programmes under the European territorial cooperation goal

Priority axis	Fund	Calculation basis	Total funding	Co-financing rate	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Proportion of the total allocation covered with selected operations	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	Total	57,657,944.00	85.00	59,548,430.37	103.28%	57,086,889.26	37,928,773.26	65.78%	34
1	IPA(e)	Total	6,265,473.00	85.00	6,603,512.96	105.40%	6,268,279.62	4,232,396.41	67.55%	33
1-MD	ENI	Total	1,391,293.00	85.00	1,313,393.56	94.40%	1,276,764.21	269,688.61	19.38%	18
1-UA	ENI	Total	1,179,642.00	85.00	704,990.00	59.76%	655,629.48	50,854.76	4.31%	8
2	ERDF	Total	84,286,951.00	85.00	85,526,549.05	101.47%	83,847,994.83	46,918,534.36	55.67%	41
2	IPA(e)	Total	7,867,253.00	85.00	8,111,438.86	103.10%	7,839,119.94	4,921,711.01	62.56%	38
2-MD	ENI	Total	925,633.00	85.00	331,041.01	35.76%	310,592.26	739.27	0.08%	6
2-UA	ENI	Total	1,299,812.00	85.00	566,830.03	43.61%	554,929.77	75,595.43	5.82%	4
3	ERDF	Total	45,640,434.00	85.00	49,054,336.00	107.48%	47,363,526.54	31,991,881.43	70.10%	23
3	IPA(e)	Total	3,560,250.00	85.00	3,723,701.29	104.59%	3,505,970.06	2,843,631.77	79.87%	18
3-MD	ENI	Total	725,213.00	85.00	567,933.66	78.31%	512,190.08	99,672.98	13.74%	10
3-UA	ENI	Total	667,545.00	85.00	483,831.59	72.48%	483,831.59	0.00	0.00%	1
4	ERDF	Total	35,908,416.00	85.00	36,589,446.21	101.90%	35,417,737.26	19,908,151.14	55.44%	56
4	IPA(e)	Total	3,302,641.00	85.00	3,606,559.89	109.20%	3,396,006.74	2,427,515.63	73.50%	22
4-MD	ENI	Total	2,251,980.00	85.00	342,736.24	15.22%	342,736.24	130,055.72	5.78%	5
4-UA	ENI	Total	2,147,120.00	85.00	338,920.00	15.78%	338,920.00	9,273.48	0.43%	4
5	ERDF	Total	16,167,632.00	75.00	16,059,250.98	99.33%	16,059,250.98	7,455,924.91	46.12%	11
5	IPA(e)	Total	2,332,846.00	85.00	2,332,845.90	100.00%	2,332,845.90	960,897.52	41.19%	6
5-MD	ENI	Total	500,000.00	100.00	500,000.00	100.00%	500,000.00	63,906.63	12.78%	3
5-UA	ENI	Total	500,000.00	100.00	250,000.00	50.00%	250,000.00	63,906.60	12.78%	2
Total	ERDF		239,661,377.00	84.33	246,778,012.61	102.97%	239,775,398.87	144,203,265.10	60.17%	165
Total	ENI		11,588,238.00	86.29	5,399,676.09	46.60%	5,225,593.63	763,693.48	6.59%	61
Total	IPA(e)		23,328,463.00	85.00	24,378,058.90	104.50%	23,342,222.26	15,386,152.34	65.95%	117
Grand total			274,578,078.00	84.47	276,555,747.60	100.72%	268,343,214.76	160,353,110.92	58.40%	343

Where applicable, the use of any contribution from third countries participating in the cooperation programme should be provided (for example IPA and ENI, Norway, Switzerland)

In accordance with the Preamble (37) of the ETC Regulation, third countries are allowed to participate through contribution of IPA and ENI resources in transnational cooperation programmes in order to strengthen the Union's economic, social and territorial cohesion and to reinforce effectiveness of its cohesion policy. In order to ensure effective participation of non-EU member countries in the DTP, managed in accordance with the shared management principle, programme implementation conditions governing the financial management as well as programming, monitoring, evaluation and control of the participation of third countries as set out in the present CP as well as in the financing agreements shall be respected by all participating countries in line with Article 26 of the ETC Regulation.

IPA funds are integrated into the programme management system in order to provide the same possibilities to and assume the same liabilities by beneficiaries from both the Member States and the IPA beneficiary countries. The single implementation system gives the possibility to fully integrate external partners into the transnational partnerships, thus the whole project can be managed by one single contract under the responsibility of the Lead Beneficiary. Detailed rules regarding the management of the integration of IPA funds will be covered by Financing Agreements.

The designation audit on compliance the management and control system (of the Audit Authority) of Moldova resulted with unqualified opinion already in 2019. The MD authorities reported in 2020 that all corrective measures related to the designation audit had been fulfilled. . The reimbursement of the ENI-MD validated expenditure started in 2020 for the ENI-MD partners. The designation audit process for Ukraine had been finalised in 2020. The AA concluded on 28 October 2020 that unqualified opinion can be issued for the UA first level control system All corrective measures had been reported as fulfilled in November 2020, therefore the MA/ JS provided access to the eMS to the ENI-UA controllers by the beginning of December 2020 and they started to validate the expenditure reported by the ENI-UA project partners.

Table 5: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention

As set out in Table 2 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and tables 6-9 of Model for cooperation programmes

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	AT130	2,606,248.85	2,503,372.80	2,380,908.68	2
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	DE111	921,015.97	898,450.74	852,392.63	3
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	443,216.30	412,339.85	410,860.98	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	DE144	236,846.25	230,880.37	222,497.90	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	DE212	1,660,824.27	1,598,686.92	1,091,545.91	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	368,015.97	358,342.71	334,855.88	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	HU333	453,046.52	452,530.99	424,173.14	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	RO221	358,594.24	342,806.34	307,248.28	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	RO321	396,500.00	384,764.50	26,648.83	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	SI017	1,141,800.00	1,103,977.50	880,195.86	2
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	SI021	202,853.62	198,668.17	17,021.91	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	AT130	236,550.45	225,797.38	212,927.54	2
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	DE111	1,882,370.29	1,846,280.03	1,775,898.11	2
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	1,186,203.62	1,081,795.76	423,773.57	2
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	DE144	473,692.50	461,760.75	444,995.79	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	HU333	377,538.77	377,109.16	353,477.62	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	RO221	627,539.92	599,911.09	537,684.48	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	RO312	401,871.23	379,367.47	246,260.47	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	RO321	396,500.00	384,764.50	26,648.83	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	SI011	685,210.36	664,678.63	664,699.97	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	SI017	2,036,120.00	1,978,842.50	1,648,763.59	2
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	SK010	1,213,930.23	1,155,937.77	1,193,600.58	1
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	AT130	69,064.13	67,193.97	61,367.96	1
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	AT312	136,805.45	129,010.02	24,207.55	1
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	DE111	1,720,526.44	1,629,909.43	1,546,321.47	2
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	354,573.04	329,871.88	328,688.79	1
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	RO221	627,539.92	599,911.09	537,684.48	1
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	RO312	1,272,592.22	1,201,330.31	779,824.83	1
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	RO321	158,600.00	153,905.80	10,659.53	1
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	SI011	942,164.25	913,933.12	913,962.46	1
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	SI021	400,180.70	388,952.16	59,623.20	1
1	ERDF	065	01	07	07	01		24	DE111	788,618.95	755,062.32	725,330.14	2
1	ERDF	065	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	265,929.78	247,403.91	246,516.59	1
1	ERDF	065	01	07	07	01		24	RO221	179,297.12	171,403.17	153,624.14	1
1	ERDF	065	01	07	07	01		24	RO321	158,600.00	153,905.80	10,659.53	1
1	ERDF	065	01	07	07	01		24	SI021	2,063,911.11	1,977,866.57	2,012,957.98	2
1	ERDF	065	01	07	07	01		24	SK010	653,654.74	622,428.03	642,708.00	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	AT130	1,410,640.57	1,368,094.73	1,256,182.80	2
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	AT312	136,805.45	129,010.02	24,207.55	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	DE111	737,389.09	729,287.42	685,618.57	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	1,424,732.40	1,292,821.77	306,971.56	2
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	DE125	301,271.40	266,848.35	186,948.81	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	DE144	394,743.75	384,800.62	370,829.83	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	5,721,123.18	5,431,328.00	4,446,415.56	4
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	HU333	302,031.02	301,687.33	282,782.10	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	RO113	934,460.53	885,779.32	34,466.92	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	RO321	317,200.00	307,811.60	21,319.06	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	SI011	85,651.30	83,084.83	83,087.50	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	SI017	628,080.00	597,105.00	405,026.77	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	SI021	1,600,722.80	1,555,808.63	238,492.78	1
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	CZ051	851,388.00	827,132.75	471,536.12	1
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	88,643.26	82,467.97	82,172.20	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	DE125	602,542.80	533,696.70	373,897.61	1
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	HR037	1,739,970.00	1,688,330.00	123,131.24	1
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	245,911.85	225,549.69	143,839.47	1
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	HU211	1,672,169.00	1,655,960.95	154,379.64	1
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	RO113	1,482,664.48	1,405,424.29	54,687.04	1
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	RO321	79,300.00	76,952.90	5,329.77	1
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	SI012	1,455,930.00	1,446,583.50	83,958.81	1
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	SI021	527,419.41	516,537.24	44,256.97	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	AT130	669,945.28	634,413.64	606,238.30	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	AT312	1,094,443.60	1,032,080.18	193,660.43	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	BG331	1,756,442.00	1,671,333.20	1,199,003.80	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	CZ051	851,388.00	827,132.75	471,536.12	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	88,643.26	82,467.97	82,172.20	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	DE125	602,542.80	533,696.70	373,897.61	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	DE144	473,692.50	461,760.75	444,995.79	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	2,106,595.81	2,018,680.39	1,736,640.01	3
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	HU333	377,538.77	377,109.16	353,477.62	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	RO321	79,300.00	76,952.90	5,329.77	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	SI021	608,560.85	596,004.50	51,065.73	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	AT130	313,024.97	305,356.97	198,230.02	2
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	DE111	25,109.24	23,069.35	23,236.60	2
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	DE122	88,863.55	85,033.06	83,020.97	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	DE144	31,228.73	26,544.42	25,170.58	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	DE212	65,690.00	55,836.50	53,906.62	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	HU101	56,219.99	52,883.05	54,875.45	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	HU333	44,433.14	44,433.14	43,600.54	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	RO221	26,079.12	26,079.12	24,060.97	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	RO321	16,973.75	14,427.69	2,166.28	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	SI017	156,660.00	156,660.00	137,832.46	2
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	SI021	25,622.25	23,750.02	2,774.10	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	AT130	36,754.18	34,837.18	32,112.20	2
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	DE111	80,570.00	80,570.00	80,566.66	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	DE122	205,024.17	181,869.78	78,595.61	2
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	DE144	62,457.45	53,088.83	50,341.16	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	HU333	37,027.62	37,027.62	36,333.78	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	RO221	45,638.46	45,638.46	42,106.70	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	RO312	36,173.34	33,728.94	31,288.60	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	RO321	16,973.75	14,427.69	2,166.28	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	SI011	50,244.28	42,707.64	50,236.76	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	SI017	311,540.00	311,540.00	282,040.12	2
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	SK010	168,571.00	143,285.35	166,747.43	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	AT130	13,169.38	13,169.38	10,320.55	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	AT312	41,640.05	40,336.85	4,863.42	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	DE111	95,194.68	80,915.48	82,089.56	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	DE122	71,090.84	68,026.45	66,416.77	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	RO221	45,638.46	45,638.46	42,106.70	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	RO312	114,548.91	106,808.31	99,080.55	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	RO321	6,789.50	5,771.07	866.51	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	SI011	69,085.89	58,723.00	69,075.54	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	SI021	29,634.00	29,634.00	1,900.34	1
1	IPA(e)	065	01	07	07			24	DE111	50,218.48	46,138.71	46,473.21	2
1	IPA(e)	065	01	07	07			24	DE122	53,318.13	51,019.84	49,812.58	1
1	IPA(e)	065	01	07	07			24	RO221	13,039.56	13,039.56	12,030.49	1
1	IPA(e)	065	01	07	07			24	RO321	6,789.50	5,771.07	866.51	1
1	IPA(e)	065	01	07	07			24	SI021	154,598.15	131,539.83	152,915.07	2
1	IPA(e)	065	01	07	07			24	SK010	90,769.00	77,153.65	89,787.08	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	AT130	260,633.55	258,716.55	207,561.58	2
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	AT312	41,640.05	40,336.85	4,863.42	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	DE122	236,445.42	204,778.23	51,476.65	2
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	DE125	32,877.60	27,945.96	21,526.48	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	DE144	52,047.88	44,240.70	41,950.97	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	HU101	717,731.75	701,098.25	606,871.13	4
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	HU333	29,622.10	29,622.10	29,067.02	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	RO113	48,866.24	48,866.24	5,445.17	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	RO321	13,579.00	11,542.15	1,733.02	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	SI011	6,280.54	5,338.46	6,279.59	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	SI017	54,000.00	54,000.00	39,568.95	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	SI021	118,536.00	118,536.00	7,601.35	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	CZ051	135,083.00	135,083.00	83,487.25	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	DE122	17,772.71	17,006.61	16,604.19	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	DE125	65,755.20	55,891.92	43,052.96	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	HR037	362,130.00	362,130.00	19,615.36	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	HU101	31,162.50	31,162.50	23,071.65	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	HU211	111,935.00	111,935.00	10,585.73	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	RO113	77,533.76	77,533.76	8,639.59	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	RO321	3,394.75	2,885.54	433.26	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	SI012	88,000.00	83,500.00	8,524.54	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	SI021	66,617.85	61,750.06	7,212.66	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	AT130	94,339.20	86,671.20	87,166.58	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	AT312	333,120.40	322,694.80	38,907.32	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	BG331	170,000.00	170,000.00	134,888.61	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	CZ051	135,083.00	135,083.00	83,487.25	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	DE122	17,772.71	17,006.61	16,604.19	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	DE125	65,755.20	55,891.92	43,052.96	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	DE144	62,457.45	53,088.83	50,341.16	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	HU101	279,647.46	266,299.68	257,671.71	3
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	HU333	37,027.62	37,027.62	36,333.78	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	RO321	3,394.75	2,885.54	433.26	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	SI021	76,866.75	71,250.07	8,322.30	1
1-MD	ENI	060	01	07	07			24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	060	01	07	07			24	DE111	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	060	01	07	07			24	RO321	20,112.50	20,112.50	1,764.41	1
1-MD	ENI	060	01	07	07			24	SI017	43,080.00	43,080.00	0.00	2
1-MD	ENI	062	01	07	07			24	DE111	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	062	01	07	07			24	DE122	40,794.13	34,675.01	3,591.02	1
1-MD	ENI	062	01	07	07			24	RO312	3,693.90	3,139.81	3,693.90	1
1-MD	ENI	062	01	07	07			24	RO321	20,112.50	20,112.50	1,764.41	1
1-MD	ENI	062	01	07	07			24	SI017	57,440.00	57,440.00	0.00	2
1-MD	ENI	063	01	07	07			24	AT312	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	063	01	07	07			24	RO312	11,697.34	9,942.74	11,697.34	1
1-MD	ENI	063	01	07	07			24	RO321	8,045.00	8,045.00	705.76	1
1-MD	ENI	063	01	07	07			24	SI021	20,000.00	20,000.00	1,382.96	1
1-MD	ENI	065	01	07	07			24	DE111	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	065	01	07	07			24	RO321	8,045.00	8,045.00	705.76	1
1-MD	ENI	065	01	07	07			24	SI021	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	AT312	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	DE122	61,191.20	52,012.52	5,386.53	1
1-MD	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	HU101	220,425.90	220,425.90	50,786.35	3
1-MD	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	RO113	40,500.22	40,500.22	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	RO321	16,090.00	16,090.00	1,411.53	1
1-MD	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	SI017	43,080.00	43,080.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	SI021	80,000.00	80,000.00	5,531.83	1
1-MD	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	CZ051	63,409.50	53,898.07	34,383.90	1
1-MD	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	HR037	90,446.66	90,446.66	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	HU101	21,874.75	21,874.75	6,140.49	1
1-MD	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	RO113	64,259.78	64,259.78	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	RO321	4,022.50	4,022.50	352.88	1
1-MD	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	SI012	96,180.00	96,180.00	5,973.56	1
1-MD	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	AT312	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	BG331	160,000.00	160,000.00	88,006.03	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1-MD	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	CZ051	63,409.50	53,898.07	34,383.90	1
1-MD	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	HU101	51,460.68	51,460.68	11,673.17	2
1-MD	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	RO321	4,022.50	4,022.50	352.88	1
1-UA	ENI	060	01	07	07			24	RO321	20,137.50	17,116.87	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	060	01	07	07			24	SI017	15,000.00	15,000.00	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	060	01	07	07			24	SI021	14,905.80	12,669.93	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	062	01	07	07			24	RO312	17,864.40	17,864.40	194.32	1
1-UA	ENI	062	01	07	07			24	RO321	20,137.50	17,116.87	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	062	01	07	07			24	SI017	20,000.00	20,000.00	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	063	01	07	07			24	RO312	56,570.60	56,570.60	615.34	1
1-UA	ENI	063	01	07	07			24	RO321	8,055.00	6,846.75	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	065	01	07	07			24	RO321	8,055.00	6,846.75	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	065	01	07	07			24	SI021	993.72	844.66	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	DE125	29,829.60	25,355.16	9,621.98	1
1-UA	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	HU101	107,130.00	107,130.00	1,494.18	2
1-UA	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	RO321	16,110.00	13,693.50	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	SI017	15,000.00	15,000.00	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	DE125	59,659.20	50,710.32	19,243.96	1
1-UA	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	HU101	11,085.00	11,085.00	80.97	1
1-UA	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	HU211	108,335.00	108,335.00	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	RO321	4,027.50	3,423.37	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	SI021	38,755.08	32,941.82	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	DE125	59,659.20	50,710.32	19,243.96	1
1-UA	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	HU101	24,935.00	24,935.00	360.05	2
1-UA	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	RO321	4,027.50	3,423.37	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	SI021	44,717.40	38,009.79	0.00	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	AT126	84,499.13	83,586.43	80,615.35	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	AT130	5,286,688.57	5,228,831.39	2,042,863.79	3
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	DE138	99,419.10	92,723.10	68,692.76	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	DE219	1,209,297.05	1,206,530.00	91,833.31	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	4,248,840.12	4,217,818.05	4,097,359.12	2
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	HU102	348,174.72	340,294.61	30,136.68	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	RO321	937,866.11	928,719.99	677,997.21	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	1,674,370.02	1,666,244.26	770,850.66	3
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	AT126	1,830,814.58	1,811,039.43	1,746,665.93	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	AT130	5,884,435.52	5,656,982.78	2,604,882.86	4
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	AT221	504,664.53	503,241.53	475,482.08	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	DE138	447,385.95	417,253.95	309,117.41	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	DE219	279,068.55	278,430.00	21,192.30	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	DE254	1,651,971.00	1,597,754.37	971,729.61	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	166,318.89	166,318.89	159,934.26	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	HU102	482,088.07	471,177.15	41,727.70	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	RO321	2,055,155.42	1,997,154.93	1,233,689.55	2
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	2,842,502.60	2,832,960.29	1,510,152.58	5
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	SI022	457,434.00	428,390.77	249,847.43	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	AT126	844,991.34	835,864.35	806,153.51	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	AT130	1,724,333.99	1,639,019.78	969,543.95	3
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	DE138	447,385.95	417,253.95	309,117.41	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	DE219	186,045.70	185,620.00	14,128.20	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	DE254	194,349.53	187,971.10	114,321.13	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	RO321	720,003.85	696,714.40	412,496.00	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	769,358.90	761,751.87	587,936.70	2
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	SI022	304,956.00	285,593.85	166,564.96	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	AT124	142,111.32	142,004.75	14,549.65	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	AT126	56,332.76	55,724.29	53,743.57	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	AT130	685,586.73	673,629.86	499,680.43	2
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	DE219	93,022.85	92,810.00	7,064.10	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	675,823.11	659,118.92	656,138.23	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	HU323	1,277,148.63	1,236,201.46	941,699.97	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	RO321	2,438,451.87	2,414,671.97	1,762,792.75	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	831,450.19	810,558.83	639,015.22	3
2	ERDF	088	01	07	07	06		24	AT124	142,111.32	142,004.75	14,549.65	1
2	ERDF	088	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	166,318.89	166,318.89	159,934.26	1
2	ERDF	088	01	07	07	06		24	HU102	508,870.75	497,353.67	44,045.91	1
2	ERDF	088	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	1,946,672.72	1,892,180.46	1,095,224.73	3
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	AT124	292,410.12	292,190.83	29,937.54	1
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	AT130	400,523.74	385,893.08	38,475.59	2
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	BG411	2,258,155.72	2,244,318.97	2,160,376.53	1
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	1,617,751.92	1,613,355.46	920,005.71	1
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	SI012	779,822.20	758,895.07	72,861.12	1
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	2,000,478.12	1,977,657.04	1,314,972.65	2
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	SI022	4,463,380.25	4,176,171.32	1,857,300.53	3
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	SK023	1,276,285.84	1,250,723.49	1,163,532.49	1
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	AT124	1,192,740.88	1,191,846.40	122,115.24	1
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	AT130	2,820,868.46	2,762,467.82	917,174.82	3
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	AT221	3,009,501.05	3,005,232.05	1,538,894.93	2
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	DE254	97,174.76	93,985.55	57,160.57	1
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	4,350,101.38	4,278,063.01	1,904,816.14	3
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	HU333	819,936.95	819,936.95	466,044.90	1
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	RO111	2,521,616.85	2,519,707.47	1,443,807.77	2
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	RO113	1,957,158.95	1,932,362.00	136,742.62	1
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	SI012	1,033,717.80	1,005,977.18	96,583.34	1
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	SI022	1,704,354.15	1,621,638.77	1,265,747.58	3
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	SK023	425,428.61	416,907.83	387,844.16	1
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	AT124	1,154,727.56	1,153,861.59	118,223.36	1
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	AT130	890,603.52	886,097.27	727,415.37	2
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	AT221	1,501,669.51	1,500,246.51	550,447.86	2
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	DE219	93,022.85	92,810.00	7,064.10	1
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	1,024,375.60	1,010,659.43	990,070.51	1
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	HU333	670,857.50	670,857.50	381,309.47	1
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	RO111	617,109.15	616,039.78	38,266.71	1
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	SI022	1,481,026.67	1,435,365.56	1,370,027.67	3
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	SK023	425,428.61	416,907.83	387,844.16	1
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	AT126	4,353.09	4,353.09	4,070.03	1
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	AT130	304,924.01	299,221.88	164,377.11	3
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	DE138	60,994.60	57,224.95	43,724.55	1
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	DE219	58,963.45	58,963.45	7,911.09	1
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	HU101	372,278.57	372,278.57	345,155.21	2
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	HU102	26,386.10	26,386.10	1,352.41	1
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	RO321	65,390.86	65,390.86	57,165.45	1
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	SI021	268,315.50	265,907.10	151,453.73	3
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	AT126	94,317.02	94,317.02	88,184.01	1
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	AT130	285,679.22	283,072.53	204,879.93	3
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	DE138	274,475.70	257,512.27	196,760.48	1
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	DE219	13,606.95	13,606.95	1,825.64	1
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	DE254	89,624.00	89,624.00	46,622.98	1
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	HU101	11,610.19	11,610.19	10,311.12	1
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	HU102	36,534.60	36,534.60	1,872.57	1
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	RO321	168,538.44	157,655.19	115,549.17	2
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	SI021	472,259.77	456,953.38	306,822.10	5
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	SI022	40,500.00	40,500.00	9,101.00	1
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	AT126	43,530.93	43,530.93	40,700.31	1
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	AT130	173,215.80	170,934.95	122,555.34	2
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	DE138	274,475.70	257,512.27	196,760.48	1
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	DE219	9,071.30	9,071.30	1,217.09	1
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	DE254	10,544.00	10,544.00	5,485.06	1
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	RO321	61,020.90	56,356.65	39,721.28	1
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	SI021	179,033.73	164,931.54	139,635.54	2
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	SI022	27,000.00	27,000.00	6,067.33	1
2	IPA(e)	087	01	07	07			24	AT124	7,486.81	7,486.81	917.47	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
2	IPA(e)	087	01	07	07			24	AT126	2,902.06	2,902.06	2,713.35	1
2	IPA(e)	087	01	07	07			24	AT130	63,071.06	62,093.55	44,642.34	2
2	IPA(e)	087	01	07	07			24	DE219	4,535.65	4,535.65	608.55	1
2	IPA(e)	087	01	07	07			24	HU101	87,928.18	87,928.18	85,914.27	1
2	IPA(e)	087	01	07	07			24	RO321	170,016.22	170,016.22	148,630.16	1
2	IPA(e)	087	01	07	07			24	SI021	154,159.71	151,751.31	108,848.70	3
2	IPA(e)	088	01	07	07			24	AT124	7,486.81	7,486.81	917.47	1
2	IPA(e)	088	01	07	07			24	HU101	11,610.19	11,610.19	10,311.12	1
2	IPA(e)	088	01	07	07			24	HU102	38,564.30	38,564.30	1,976.60	1
2	IPA(e)	088	01	07	07			24	SI021	426,263.75	412,214.75	205,913.11	3
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	AT124	15,404.95	15,404.95	1,887.80	1
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	AT130	34,824.68	32,602.06	1,437.24	2
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	BG411	198,135.00	168,414.75	184,603.68	1
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	HU101	210,583.50	178,995.97	144,442.23	1
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	SI012	89,300.25	89,300.25	2,846.20	1
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	SI021	482,914.57	440,608.00	356,555.88	2
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	SI022	400,487.95	400,487.95	88,553.25	3
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	SK023	87,909.75	87,909.75	87,889.91	1
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	AT124	62,836.79	62,836.79	7,700.35	1
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	AT130	150,096.40	132,083.57	61,611.17	3
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	AT221	15,060.00	15,060.00	0.00	1
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	DE254	5,272.00	5,272.00	2,742.53	1
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	HU101	437,038.88	410,557.00	146,160.36	3
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	HU333	195,553.60	195,553.60	132,767.23	1
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	RO111	299,125.75	299,125.75	219,480.03	2
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	RO113	110,800.00	110,800.00	4,508.63	1
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	SI012	118,374.75	118,374.75	3,772.88	1
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	SI022	193,483.45	193,483.45	144,770.53	3
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	SK023	29,303.25	29,303.25	29,296.64	1
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	AT124	60,834.15	60,834.15	7,454.93	1
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	AT130	67,347.37	58,445.70	53,181.64	2
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	AT221	10,040.00	10,040.00	0.00	1
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	DE219	4,535.65	4,535.65	608.55	1
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	HU101	57,162.00	57,162.00	53,257.19	1
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	HU333	159,998.40	159,998.40	108,627.73	1
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	RO111	38,826.75	38,826.75	0.00	1
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	SI022	146,216.60	146,216.60	127,583.64	3
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	SK023	29,303.25	29,303.25	29,296.64	1
2-MD	ENI	021	01	07	07			24	AT126	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	021	01	07	07			24	AT130	70,345.50	70,345.50	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	021	01	07	07			24	SI021	46,260.00	46,260.00	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	085	01	07	07			24	AT126	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	085	01	07	07			24	SI021	2,570.00	2,570.00	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	086	01	07	07			24	AT126	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	087	01	07	07			24	AT124	3,546.37	3,546.37	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	087	01	07	07			24	AT126	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	087	01	07	07			24	HU323	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	088	01	07	07			24	AT124	3,546.37	3,546.37	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	088	01	07	07			24	SI021	2,570.00	2,570.00	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	091	01	07	07			24	AT124	7,297.05	7,297.05	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	091	01	07	07			24	SI012	58,619.75	49,826.79	317.89	1
2-MD	ENI	094	01	07	07			24	AT124	29,764.67	29,764.67	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	094	01	07	07			24	SI012	77,705.25	66,049.46	421.38	1
2-MD	ENI	095	01	07	07			24	AT124	28,816.05	28,816.05	0.00	1
2-UA	ENI	021	01	07	07			24	HU102	48,769.50	45,675.43	419.72	1
2-UA	ENI	021	01	07	07			24	SI021	10,646.44	10,646.44	5,424.66	1
2-UA	ENI	085	01	07	07			24	HU102	67,527.00	63,242.91	581.14	1
2-UA	ENI	085	01	07	07			24	SI021	176,196.86	176,196.86	29,781.87	2
2-UA	ENI	087	01	07	07			24	HU323	74,750.00	74,750.00	18,819.58	1
2-UA	ENI	088	01	07	07			24	HU102	71,278.50	66,756.40	613.43	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
2-UA	ENI	088	01	07	07			24	SI021	591.47	591.47	301.37	1
2-UA	ENI	091	01	07	07			24	SI021	117,070.26	117,070.26	19,653.66	1
3	ERDF	012	01	07	07	07		24	DE148	2,323,519.65	2,240,938.82	1,958,169.12	1
3	ERDF	012	01	07	07	07		24	DE228	2,481,571.85	2,405,150.97	237,452.38	1
3	ERDF	012	01	07	07	07		24	HR041	1,864,158.25	1,727,172.95	225,086.97	1
3	ERDF	012	01	07	07	07		24	HU101	1,896,764.70	1,883,918.56	1,794,449.90	1
3	ERDF	015	01	07	07	07		24	HR041	3,158,689.20	3,002,846.55	3,129,799.99	1
3	ERDF	015	01	07	07	07		24	SI012	981,761.77	915,609.04	80,226.09	1
3	ERDF	035	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	291,591.65	289,646.70	247,376.16	1
3	ERDF	035	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	4,239,645.95	4,105,911.07	3,456,639.91	5
3	ERDF	035	01	07	07	07		24	RO224	233,029.78	222,091.16	29,074.75	1
3	ERDF	035	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	679,457.70	629,821.23	420,333.45	2
3	ERDF	036	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	388,788.86	386,195.59	329,834.88	1
3	ERDF	036	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	4,573,849.20	4,549,941.23	2,862,368.74	5
3	ERDF	036	01	07	07	07		24	RO224	392,373.21	373,954.87	48,955.78	1
3	ERDF	036	01	07	07	07		24	SI014	651,130.51	651,130.51	574,682.92	1
3	ERDF	036	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	2,674,680.80	2,482,878.77	699,189.34	2
3	ERDF	039	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	291,591.65	289,646.70	247,376.16	1
3	ERDF	039	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	142,071.73	135,907.06	133,379.61	1
3	ERDF	040	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	194,394.43	193,097.79	164,917.44	1
3	ERDF	040	01	07	07	07		24	RO224	172,644.21	164,540.14	21,540.54	1
3	ERDF	041	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	388,788.86	386,195.59	329,834.88	1
3	ERDF	041	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	6,462,018.79	6,190,181.60	5,976,103.98	4
3	ERDF	041	01	07	07	07		24	RO223	894,986.40	841,334.40	803,164.16	1
3	ERDF	041	01	07	07	07		24	RO224	579,382.27	552,185.56	72,288.60	1
3	ERDF	041	01	07	07	07		24	SI012	1,767,631.95	1,736,745.86	1,599,850.75	1
3	ERDF	042	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	388,788.86	386,195.59	329,834.88	1
3	ERDF	042	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	1,161,336.68	1,103,255.20	1,079,613.20	4
3	ERDF	042	01	07	07	07		24	RO224	1,282,727.87	1,222,515.49	160,043.90	1
3	ERDF	042	01	07	07	07		24	SI012	196,403.55	192,971.76	177,761.19	1
3	ERDF	043	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	1,431,605.18	1,430,879.45	333,988.68	2
3	ERDF	043	01	07	07	07		24	RO424	1,459,564.12	1,381,970.11	1,311,336.32	1
3	ERDF	043	01	07	07	07		24	SI014	818,564.07	818,564.07	722,458.52	1
3	ERDF	043	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	289,670.25	268,407.30	206,239.98	1
3	ERDF	044	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	2,786,542.44	2,756,777.77	928,542.41	3
3	ERDF	044	01	07	07	07		24	RO223	596,657.60	560,889.60	535,442.77	1
3	ERDF	044	01	07	07	07		24	RO424	237,603.46	224,971.88	213,473.35	1
3	ERDF	044	01	07	07	07		24	SI014	390,678.30	390,678.30	344,809.75	1
3	ERDF	044	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	289,670.25	268,407.30	206,239.98	1
3	IPA(e)	012	01	07	07			24	DE228	72,155.00	72,155.00	9,646.96	1
3	IPA(e)	012	01	07	07			24	HR041	162,782.50	162,782.50	13,351.45	1
3	IPA(e)	012	01	07	07			24	HU101	613,816.00	613,816.00	579,941.29	1
3	IPA(e)	015	01	07	07			24	HR041	632,654.21	632,654.21	622,588.52	1
3	IPA(e)	015	01	07	07			24	SI012	80,208.00	68,176.80	6,227.97	1
3	IPA(e)	035	01	07	07			24	AT123	35,175.75	35,175.75	35,158.40	1
3	IPA(e)	035	01	07	07			24	AT130	156,381.40	132,924.18	147,963.27	3
3	IPA(e)	035	01	07	07			24	RO224	23,057.41	20,705.81	938.63	1
3	IPA(e)	035	01	07	07			24	SI021	39,907.12	36,656.60	35,282.55	1
3	IPA(e)	036	01	07	07			24	AT123	46,901.00	46,901.00	46,877.87	1
3	IPA(e)	036	01	07	07			24	AT130	262,354.58	223,001.39	218,984.91	3
3	IPA(e)	036	01	07	07			24	RO224	38,823.84	34,864.24	1,580.46	1
3	IPA(e)	036	01	07	07			24	SI014	50,364.76	42,810.04	50,362.82	1
3	IPA(e)	036	01	07	07			24	SI021	53,209.49	48,875.47	47,043.40	1
3	IPA(e)	039	01	07	07			24	AT123	35,175.75	35,175.75	35,158.40	1
3	IPA(e)	039	01	07	07			24	AT130	7,198.58	6,118.79	5,544.46	1
3	IPA(e)	040	01	07	07			24	AT123	23,450.50	23,450.50	23,438.93	1
3	IPA(e)	040	01	07	07			24	RO224	17,082.49	15,340.27	695.40	1
3	IPA(e)	041	01	07	07			24	AT123	46,901.00	46,901.00	46,877.87	1
3	IPA(e)	041	01	07	07			24	AT130	330,839.65	306,028.04	254,776.64	4
3	IPA(e)	041	01	07	07			24	RO223	56,760.00	48,246.00	45,961.52	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
3	IPA(e)	041	01	07	07			24	RO224	57,327.67	51,480.88	2,333.73	1
3	IPA(e)	041	01	07	07			24	SI012	238,999.50	221,428.57	238,721.25	1
3	IPA(e)	042	01	07	07			24	AT123	46,901.00	46,901.00	46,877.87	1
3	IPA(e)	042	01	07	07			24	AT130	52,212.24	47,137.55	40,624.88	4
3	IPA(e)	042	01	07	07			24	RO224	126,921.05	113,976.51	5,166.78	1
3	IPA(e)	042	01	07	07			24	SI012	26,555.50	24,603.17	26,524.58	1
3	IPA(e)	043	01	07	07			24	AT130	122,258.44	103,919.67	7,970.06	1
3	IPA(e)	043	01	07	07			24	RO424	79,120.00	79,120.00	72,167.61	1
3	IPA(e)	043	01	07	07			24	SI014	63,315.69	53,818.33	63,313.26	1
3	IPA(e)	043	01	07	07			24	SI021	19,953.56	18,328.30	17,641.28	1
3	IPA(e)	044	01	07	07			24	AT130	4,045.20	3,438.42	3,640.56	1
3	IPA(e)	044	01	07	07			24	RO223	37,840.00	32,164.00	30,641.01	1
3	IPA(e)	044	01	07	07			24	RO424	12,880.00	12,880.00	11,748.21	1
3	IPA(e)	044	01	07	07			24	SI014	30,218.85	25,686.02	30,217.69	1
3	IPA(e)	044	01	07	07			24	SI021	19,953.56	18,328.30	17,641.28	1
3-MD	ENI	012	01	07	07			24	HR041	53,903.50	45,817.97	4,588.04	1
3-MD	ENI	035	01	07	07			24	AT123	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	035	01	07	07			24	AT130	16,617.05	14,124.49	0.00	4
3-MD	ENI	035	01	07	07			24	RO224	17,196.74	17,196.74	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	035	01	07	07			24	SI021	41,163.25	34,988.76	25,523.84	2
3-MD	ENI	036	01	07	07			24	AT123	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	036	01	07	07			24	AT130	27,695.08	23,540.82	0.00	3
3-MD	ENI	036	01	07	07			24	RO224	28,955.70	28,955.70	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	036	01	07	07			24	SI021	128,797.25	109,477.66	44,637.60	2
3-MD	ENI	039	01	07	07			24	AT123	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	039	01	07	07			24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	040	01	07	07			24	AT123	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	040	01	07	07			24	RO224	12,740.51	12,740.51	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	041	01	07	07			24	AT123	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	041	01	07	07			24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	2
3-MD	ENI	041	01	07	07			24	RO224	42,756.29	42,756.29	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	042	01	07	07			24	AT123	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	042	01	07	07			24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	2
3-MD	ENI	042	01	07	07			24	RO224	94,660.61	94,660.61	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	043	01	07	07			24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	043	01	07	07			24	SI021	18,489.75	15,716.29	12,461.75	1
3-MD	ENI	044	01	07	07			24	AT130	66,468.18	56,497.95	0.00	2
3-MD	ENI	044	01	07	07			24	SI021	18,489.75	15,716.29	12,461.75	1
3-UA	ENI	035	01	07	07			24	RO224	42,383.65	42,383.65	0.00	1
3-UA	ENI	036	01	07	07			24	RO224	71,365.16	71,365.16	0.00	1
3-UA	ENI	040	01	07	07			24	RO224	31,400.67	31,400.67	0.00	1
3-UA	ENI	041	01	07	07			24	RO224	105,378.52	105,378.52	0.00	1
3-UA	ENI	042	01	07	07			24	RO224	233,303.59	233,303.59	0.00	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	AT130	5,594,591.49	5,547,425.62	2,803,100.65	7
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	AT221	49,731.25	47,331.25	49,731.25	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	BG331	50,000.00	47,510.00	30,520.87	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	BG411	846,082.92	843,910.31	261,930.64	5
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	CZ010	352,940.60	352,940.60	302,798.27	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	DE111	2,622,945.94	2,510,781.39	934,135.44	4
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	DE212	579,430.00	579,430.00	176,789.39	2
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HR041	34,170.00	33,903.27	34,122.87	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HR046	50,000.00	49,485.00	49,302.31	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HU101	5,524,332.17	5,429,709.05	4,258,934.23	8
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HU212	45,830.12	45,830.12	15,916.26	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HU222	50,000.00	50,000.00	45,552.19	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HU223	49,780.00	48,283.25	49,714.48	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HU231	25,300.00	25,300.00	25,295.32	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	RO113	1,224,320.28	1,179,756.69	123,184.31	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	RO114	38,795.00	38,795.00	37,103.52	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	RO122	49,875.00	46,656.50	40,897.82	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	RO125	47,640.00	45,435.32	43,821.55	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	RO223	790,044.00	769,853.16	727,744.01	2
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	RO321	352,941.00	352,941.00	176,405.49	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	RO411	49,995.00	49,995.00	48,960.66	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	SI011	1,568,793.75	1,511,195.97	72,534.13	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	SI012	1,304,563.75	1,225,558.32	114,068.42	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	SI014	34,607.17	34,607.17	34,530.10	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	SI021	3,237,698.31	3,133,494.44	2,963,683.03	6
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	SI023	781,600.00	722,898.00	57,092.38	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	SK010	481,853.13	481,853.13	223,106.44	3
4	ERDF	120	01	07	07	11		24	DE111	2,651,045.22	2,475,272.06	1,362,751.99	2
4	ERDF	120	01	07	07	11		24	HU101	2,299,069.37	2,213,897.81	2,119,128.33	3
4	ERDF	120	01	07	07	11		24	RO113	1,001,716.60	965,255.48	100,787.16	1
4	ERDF	120	01	07	07	11		24	RO223	1,110,066.00	1,079,779.75	1,019,314.09	1
4	ERDF	120	01	07	07	11		24	SI011	118,081.25	113,745.93	5,459.56	1
4	ERDF	120	01	07	07	11		24	SI012	906,561.25	851,659.17	79,267.88	1
4	ERDF	120	01	07	07	11		24	SI021	1,492,645.64	1,428,900.50	1,434,827.52	3
4	ERDF	120	01	07	07	11		24	SI023	1,172,400.00	1,084,347.00	85,638.58	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	DE111	224,497.08	208,158.93	166,849.40	2
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	HR041	12,790.00	12,790.00	11,477.64	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	HU101	580,573.36	553,544.24	553,129.51	3
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	HU231	24,600.00	20,910.00	23,529.74	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	RO113	48,258.06	48,258.06	4,214.51	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	RO114	11,200.00	9,520.00	10,898.99	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	RO223	62,800.00	62,800.00	51,880.08	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	SI011	168,262.48	154,725.76	0.00	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	SI012	122,808.50	122,808.50	3,996.41	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	SI014	14,990.40	12,741.84	13,105.48	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	SI021	664,206.53	621,592.61	459,939.76	6
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	SI023	65,280.00	55,488.00	5,201.16	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	SK010	270,402.50	270,402.50	114,091.00	2
4	IPA(e)	120	01	07	07			24	DE111	447,590.92	409,468.57	389,315.28	2
4	IPA(e)	120	01	07	07			24	HU101	382,894.84	355,735.28	366,616.39	3
4	IPA(e)	120	01	07	07			24	RO113	39,483.87	39,483.87	3,448.24	1
4	IPA(e)	120	01	07	07			24	RO223	94,200.00	94,200.00	77,820.13	1
4	IPA(e)	120	01	07	07			24	SI011	12,664.92	11,646.03	0.00	1
4	IPA(e)	120	01	07	07			24	SI012	85,341.50	85,341.50	2,777.17	1
4	IPA(e)	120	01	07	07			24	SI021	175,794.93	163,159.05	161,422.99	3
4	IPA(e)	120	01	07	07			24	SI023	97,920.00	83,232.00	7,801.75	1
4-MD	ENI	119	01	07	07			24	AT130	150,000.00	150,000.00	124,562.80	1
4-MD	ENI	119	01	07	07			24	HU101	0.00	0.00	0.00	2
4-MD	ENI	119	01	07	07			24	RO113	45,700.18	45,700.18	3,021.11	1
4-MD	ENI	119	01	07	07			24	SI011	101,969.85	101,969.85	0.00	1
4-MD	ENI	120	01	07	07			24	HU101	0.00	0.00	0.00	2
4-MD	ENI	120	01	07	07			24	RO113	37,391.06	37,391.06	2,471.81	1
4-MD	ENI	120	01	07	07			24	SI011	7,675.15	7,675.15	0.00	1
4-UA	ENI	119	01	07	07			24	AT130	50,000.00	50,000.00	0.00	1
4-UA	ENI	119	01	07	07			24	SI011	88,182.60	88,182.60	0.00	1
4-UA	ENI	119	01	07	07			24	SI012	72,334.00	72,334.00	5,471.35	1
4-UA	ENI	119	01	07	07			24	SI023	28,600.00	28,600.00	0.00	1
4-UA	ENI	120	01	07	07			24	SI011	6,637.40	6,637.40	0.00	1
4-UA	ENI	120	01	07	07			24	SI012	50,266.00	50,266.00	3,802.13	1
4-UA	ENI	120	01	07	07			24	SI023	42,900.00	42,900.00	0.00	1
5-MD	ENI	121	01	07	07			24	HU101	222,700.00	222,700.00	56,237.83	2
5-MD	ENI	122	01	07	07			24	HU101	9,100.00	9,100.00	2,556.27	1
5-MD	ENI	123	01	07	07			24	HU101	18,200.00	18,200.00	5,112.53	1
5-MD	ENI	123	01	07	07			24	MD	250,000.00	250,000.00	0.00	1
5-UA	ENI	121	01	07	07			24	HU101	222,700.00	222,700.00	56,237.81	2
5-UA	ENI	122	01	07	07			24	HU101	9,100.00	9,100.00	2,556.26	1
5-UA	ENI	123	01	07	07			24	HU101	18,200.00	18,200.00	5,112.53	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			24	HU101	12,594,276.64	12,594,276.64	5,976,896.15	3
5	ERDF	122	01	07	07			24	HU101	517,467.12	517,467.12	248,620.93	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	BG412	173,786.00	173,786.00	18,574.17	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	CZ010	109,925.00	109,925.00	26,737.30	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	DE111	422,700.00	422,700.00	322,683.02	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	HR041	45,728.00	45,728.00	20,491.31	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	HU101	1,283,455.24	1,283,455.24	558,782.23	2
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	RO321	754,162.98	754,162.98	226,418.45	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	SI021	23,901.00	23,901.00	19,449.62	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	SK010	133,849.00	133,849.00	37,271.73	1
5	IPA(e)	121	01	07	07			24	HU101	1,389,445.84	1,389,445.84	568,220.13	3
5	IPA(e)	122	01	07	07			24	HU101	56,338.45	56,338.45	23,352.29	1
5	IPA(e)	123	01	07	07			24	BA	255,484.71	255,484.71	71,358.02	1
5	IPA(e)	123	01	07	07			24	HU101	112,676.89	112,676.89	46,704.58	1
5	IPA(e)	123	01	07	07			24	ME	116,777.65	116,777.65	55,883.26	1
5	IPA(e)	123	01	07	07			24	RS	402,122.36	402,122.36	195,379.24	1

Table 6: Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area

1. Operation (2)	2. The amount of ERDF support(1) envisaged to be used for all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area based on selected operations	3. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 2/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)	4. Eligible expenditure of ERDF support incurred in all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area declared by the beneficiary to the managing authority	5. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 4/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)
------------------	---	---	--	---

(1) ERDF support is the Commission decision on the respective cooperation programme.

(2) In accordance with and subject to ceilings set out Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013.

4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS

The Impact evaluation tender was launched autumn 2020 and by the deadline 6 offers were received. The MA/ JS started the evaluation and the entire process will be finalised at the beginning of 2021. The first draft evaluation report is expected in October 2021.

Name	Fund	From month	From year	To month	To year	Type of evaluation	Thematic objective	Topic	Findings
------	------	------------	-----------	----------	---------	--------------------	--------------------	-------	----------

5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN

(a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken

By the deadline of 15 February 2020, the “annual accounts” package prepared by the Programme authorities in close cooperation (Audit Authority, Certifying Authority and MA/JS) had been submitted to the EC for the accounting year ended 30 June 2019. The annual accounts had been approved by the EC on 10 July 2020.

Based on the expenditure included in payment applications submitted to the European Commission in the course of the accounting year 01/07/2019-30/06/2020, the Audit Authority selected the sample of operations to be audited and sent it to the GoA members for consultation purposes. Altogether 52 project partners have been selected for audit in order to gain comprehensive feedback regarding the implementation of projects within the Programme. The audits on operations were carried out by the External Auditor (AESA Hungary Ltd). All final audit reports have been issued by the end of November 2020. In the audited period 15 irregularities were revealed. The total amount of these irregularities is 21,392.02 Euro, out of which the amount not to be recovered and repaid into the EU budget is 682.45 Euro.

Based on the Verification Reports submitted by the Partner States within the deadline of 15 October, as well as the results of the system audits and audits of sampled operations carried out, the MA/JS prepared the draft management declaration and annual summary for the year 2020. The final documents had submitted to the AA in February 2021, after acceptance of the drafts by the AA.

The draft up-dated description of the management and control system had been prepared and submitted by the MA/JS at the end of 2020, to the Audit Authority, including the up-dated versions of the description of national control systems as annexes to the DMCS.

The MD authorities reported in 2020 that all corrective measures related to the designation audit had been fulfilled. The reimbursement of the ENI-MD validated expenditure started in 2020 for the ENI-MD partners.

Due to the institutional changes in Moldova, the designation of the organisation carrying out National Authority functions in Moldova has been re-performed with the assistance of the national Member of the Group of Auditors. Based on the latest follow-up information provided by the GoA in November 2020, all newly developed documents and procedures have been found adequate and duly approved, the necessary staff has been allocated, and there are no further open issues left from the designation exercise.

The setting up of the Ukrainian management and control system has also been completed in 2020. In October 2020 the Ukrainian Member of the Group of Auditors sent the updated follow-up on open issues, based on which an unqualified designation opinion was issued by the Audit Authority. In November 2020 further follow-up was submitted by the UA GoA, and as a result, all remaining open issues from the designation audit were closed.

In 2020 the MA/JS updated the result indicators values. Given the low response rate received in 2018, in cooperation with the Partner States the list of stakeholders has been updated. Despite this fact the Programme faced again a very limited number of valid responses, in case of some SO even 0 therefore it was difficult to assess the impact of the Programme.

In terms of the values of the indicators

- in two cases (1.2. and 2.2.) information provided by the respondents was not sufficient to formulate the actual value of the indicator
- in 4.2. values show a constant improvement from until the current survey
- in 1.1. and 2.1. the indicators' values show a slight decrease compared to both data from 2019 and at the start of the Programme, while in 3.1. the value almost reached the level at the Programme's start, after having shown a low figure in the survey in 2019.
- in case of 4.2 the value shows significantly improvement compared to the baseline data and 2019.
- the 2.3 and 2.4 show significantly improvement compared to the previous measurement, but due to the low number of respondents those can't be considered significant.
- in case of 4.1 one appreciable answer was received which consequently awarded "satisfied" ranking for all questions, so the given value can't be considered as "reliable".

Following the discussion with the experts, the following recommendations have been made for the final update of the result indicators:

1. The possible simplification of the content of the questionnaire. Although - methodically - it might be questionable whether any changes in the survey compared to the methodology applied when the baseline values have been set is appropriate, we think, that a less complicated, more focussed version of the questionnaire would be easier and less time consuming to fill in, therefore would result in better willingness of partners to send it back. Thus, the potential methodological deficiencies would well be counterbalanced by the improved validity of the compiled information.
2. Use of more interactive ways of gathering information. Survey might be extended by a series of targeted and structured interviews with key partners, possibly identified by the Contact Points in each country. Interviews would provide the possibility of discussing in person the developments of the organization, using the same set of questions, as the electronic survey. The advantage would be to have a set of well-structured and fully elaborated questionnaire, from each of the participating countries. Additional qualitative information could be gained from the records of these interviews. Interviews could also be organised as workshops, in groups, per country, exploiting the potential of group dynamics, too.
3. More extensive use of social media and the newsletter for distributing the questionnaire among potential respondents, especially if current questionnaire could be transformed into a shorter, more compact one.
4. More active involvement of the local Contact Points in distributing the questionnaires among the potential respondents, especially if a list of potential beneficiaries/partners could be drawn up and maintained by each CP's.

In consultation with the Partner States the MA/JS will analyse the options to ensure a higher rate of responses in the final update.

(b) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, otherwise it will be included in point 9.1. An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.

Despite the obstacles caused by the Covid-19 pandemic, Danube Transnational Programme is in line with its targets from both financial, but also content point of view. The extraordinary situation obliged the Programme to re-analyse its implementation procedures and simplify and adapt them to the actual environment (e.g., broader acceptance of electronic signatures, extensive use of on-line communication, flexibility in implementation and validation of expenditure). Furthermore the 3rd call projects approved in May were offered the possibility (which many of them took advantage of) to introduce activities addressing the Covid-19 pandemic effects (e.g., safe tourism approach, analysis of impacts and proposal of solution to combat the effects of the pandemic etc.). Moreover, at the end of 2020 the MA/JS invited the second call projects to apply for an extension stage and, among other activities, projects proposed also actions to mitigate the consequences of the pandemic (e.g., development of a learning platform providing professionals involved in dementia care COVID-19 related information and practical solutions, activities combating the pandemic effects and increasing resilience and adaptability of SMEs, social enterprise and social finance ecosystems, activities combating the impact of COVID-19 in tourism sector etc.).

6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

A citizen's summary of the contents of the annual and the final implementation reports shall be made public and uploaded as a separate file in the form of annex to the annual and the final implementation report

You can upload/find the Citizen's summary under General > Documents

7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)

8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013)

8.1. Major projects

Table 7: Major projects

Project	CCI	Status of MP	Total investments	Total eligible costs	Planned notification/submission date	Date of tacit agreement/ approval by Commission	Planned start of implementation	Planned completion date	Priority Axis/ Investment priorities	Current state of realisation — financial progress (% of expenditure certified to Commission compared to total eligible cost)	Current state of realisation — physical progress Main implementation stage of the project	Main outputs	Date of signature of first works contract (1)	Observations
---------	-----	--------------	-------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	---	--------------	---	--------------

(1) In the case of operations implemented under PPP structures the signing of the PPP contract between the public body and the private sector body (Article 102(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them

--

Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme

--

8.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

--

Table 8: Joint action plans (JAP)

Title of the JAP	CCI	Stage of implementation of JAP	Total eligible costs	Total public support	OP contribution to JAP	Priority axis	Type of JAP	[Planned] submission to the Commission	[Planned] start of implementation	[Planned] completion	Main outputs and results	Total eligible expenditure certified to the Commission	Observations
------------------	-----	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------------------------	---------------	-------------	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--	--------------

Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them

--

9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axis	1 - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region
---------------	---

--	--

Priority axis	1-MD - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region (ENI-MD)
---------------	---

--	--

Priority axis	1-UA - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region (ENI-UA)
---------------	---

--	--

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
---------------	---

--	--

Priority axis	2-MD - Environment and culture responsible Danube region (ENI-MD)
---------------	---

--	--

Priority axis	2-UA - Environment and culture responsible Danube region (ENI-UA)
---------------	---

--	--

Priority axis	3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region
---------------	---

--	--

Priority axis	3-MD - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region (ENI-MD)
---------------	---

--	--

Priority axis	3-UA - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region (ENI-UA)
---------------	---

--	--

Priority axis	4 - Well governed Danube region
---------------	---------------------------------

--	--

Priority axis	4-MD - Well governed Danube region (ENI-MD)
---------------	---

Priority axis | 4-UA - Well governed Danube region (ENI-UA)

Priority axis | 5 - Technical Assistance

Priority axis | 5-MD - Technical Assistance (ENI-MD)

Priority axis | 5-UA - Technical Assistance (ENI-UA)

9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of specific actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on promotion of equality between men and women and non-discrimination, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, including accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations

--

9.3.Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on sustainable development, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of the actions taken to promote sustainable development in accordance with that Article

--

9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Calculated amount of support to be used for climate change objectives based on the cumulative financial data by category of intervention in Table 7

Priority axis	Amount of support to be used for climate change objectives (EUR)	Proportion of total allocation to the operational programme (%)
1	3,493,509.92	6.43%
2	17,401,960.78	22.22%
3	23,158,771.07	55.38%
Total	44,054,241.76	19.00%

--

9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the role of partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, including involvement of the partners in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the cooperation programme

--

**10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4),
SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013**

**10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of
evaluations**

--

Status	Name	Fund	Year of finalizing evaluation	Type of evaluation	Thematic objective	Topic	Findings (in case of execution)	Follow up (in case of execution)
--------	------	------	-------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------

10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy

--

11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013)

11.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the cooperation programme

--

11.2 Progress in implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of authorities and beneficiaries to administer and to use the ERDF

--

11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

The Danube Transnational Programme offers support for the EUSDR implementation, for example by financing projects directly supporting the EUSDR (as per assessment criteria defined jointly by the Programme and the Strategy) and their preparation (Seed Money Facility). Consequently the EUSDR PACs were actively involved in the projects by participating either as financing partners, or as ASPs or leading the capitalisation poles of the programme.

In addition, as mentioned above, in this period the Programme provides direct support to the coordination activities of macro-regional cooperation:

- Support to Priority Areas Coordinators aimed at increasing the effectiveness of coordination and strategy implementation in each of the Priority Areas of the EUSDR
- Seed Money Facility providing support for preparation of complex strategic transnational projects contributing to the EUSDR, to be further financed by different funding sources existing in the region
- Establishment and support of the EUSDR Strategy Point aimed at facilitating the information flow between EUSDR actors, as well as strengthening the capacity of the PAC in implementing and communicating the Strategy.

By the end of 2019 all the 3 funding schemes planned in the Cooperation Programme were on-going. 11 projects of the 2nd PAC call started the implementation in 2020. EUSDR PA3 project was rejected and a new call was launched in July 2020, followed by the approval of the project on 8th of december. Despite the late approval the expenditures of PAC3 project are eligible from 1st January 2020.

All the SMF call projects were financially closed in 2020.

The DSP project continued its implementation and submitted 2 progress reports in 2020.

In 2019, the MA/JS continued its strong cooperation with the EUSDR. An active participation in the "statutory meetings" of the EUSDR was ensured: NC meetings , NC-PAC meeting, embedding working groups and DANUVAL. All meetings have been organised on line.

EUSDR was fully involved in the programming of DTP2, either through the TF members who are also NCs of the EUSDR, or in the stakeholders consultations where priority area coordinators have been directly addressed and ensured the coordination with their Steering Groups.

- EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR)
- EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
- Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

The pillar(s) and priority area(s) that the programme is relevant to:

	Pillar	Priority area
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.1 - Mobility - waterways
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.2 - Mobility - rail, road & air
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.3 - Energy
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.4 - Culture and tourism
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.1 - Water quality
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.2 - Environmental risks
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.3 - Biodiversity, landscapes, air and soil quality
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.1 - Knowledge society
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.2 - Competitiveness
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.3 - People & skills
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Strengthening the Danube region	4.1 - Institutional capacity & cooperation
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Strengthening the Danube region	4.2 - Security

Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the EUSDR

A. Are macro-regional coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Priority Area Coordinators or Steering Group members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme?

Yes No

Name and function

8 MC members are also EUSDR NC: Denitsa Nikolova (BG), Mislav Kovac (HR); Viktor Oroszi (HU), Srdjan Četković (MNE); Răzvan Ștefan Rab (RO), Michal Blasko (SK); Frantisek Kolocány (SK); Ivana Davidovic (RS)

B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the EUSDR?

Yes No

a) Are targeted calls for proposals planned in relation to EUSDR

Yes No

b) How many macro-regional projects/actions are already supported by the programme? (Number)

111

c) Were extra points/bonus given to a project/action with high macro-regional significance or impact? If yes, please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

The assessment grid contains 2 questions where the contribution of each project submitted in the framework of the DTP is being assessed and, depending on the quality of information provided one a higher or lower score can be awarded.

d) Other actions (e.g. planned strategic projects). Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

No

C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the EUSDR?

Yes No

Approximate or exact amount in Euro invested in the EUSDR:

ERDF	202,095,405.00
CF	
ESF	
EAFRD	
EMFF	
ENI	10,000,000.00
IPA	19,829,192.00
any other funds	
name of "any other funds"	

D. Obtained results in relation to the EUSDR (n.a. for 2016)

The programme successfully supports the all the 12 EUSDR PACs which started the implementation of their projects in January 2017 and finalised their implementation in December 2019. Starting from 1st of January 2020 the second PAC projects started their implementation thus ensuring the continuity of PAC support. 19 SMF projects have been implemented addressing specific strategic topics identified by the PACs. Furthermore the new DSP was selected in 2018 and started the implementation of the activities.

E. Does the programme contribute to the targets as validated by the national coordinators and priority area coordinators in 2016 (uploaded on the EUSDR website)? (Please specify the target(s))

In case of DTP the projects are contributing to the EUSDR targets and each project is requested to describe already in the application phase to which targets they are contributing to and how (e.g. Danube Sediment contribute to the next Danube River Basin Management Plan (2021)).

11.4 Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation

--

13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH

Information and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

--

**14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN
— PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

--

DOCUMENTS

Document title	Document type	Document date	Local reference	Commission reference	Files	Sent date	Sent By
DTP Citizens summary	Citizens' summary	31-May-2021		Ares(2021)3574977	DTP Citizens' summary	31-May-2021	n002g1vk

Severity	Code	Message
		check.
Warning	2.54.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is 190.00% of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: 5, investment priority: -, indicator: P5.3, year: 2020. Please check.
Warning	2.54.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is 191.68% of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO26, year: 2020. Please check.