

Interreg Programme  
**Danube Region**



Co-funded by  
the European Union

# Intervention logic





Join at  
**slido.com**  
**#3446 032**

 Passcode: **isrf3z**





## New elements compared to the past:

- No more work packages. Each project should define up to three specific objectives (SOs). Activities are linked to the specific objectives
- Each output indicator is linked to a result indicators therefore if one is selected the other has to be selected also.

RCO 83 Strategies and  
action plans jointly  
developed



RCR 79 Joint strategies  
and action plans taken  
up by organisations

- Deliverables listed should reflect the milestones



## Terminology:

- Project main objective - describes the strategic and long term change that the project seeks to achieve for the benefit of the target groups.
- Project specific objective - describes the specific and immediate effects of the project and it can be realistically achieved within the implementation period

## HINTS:

- First define the concrete needs and challenges of the project: **EXAMPLE:** strong dependence on fossil energy carriers; low share of renewable energy in gross energy consumption
- Define the main objective of the project that would satisfy the needs and alienate the challenges. **EXAMPLE** to support diversification of energy sources
- Then define the specific objectives (up to 3 SOs).



## Terminology:

- Project **activity** - describes a specific task performed in order to achieve the specific objectives that contribute to the development of the project outputs, for which resources are used.
- Project **deliverable** - is a side-product or service of the project that contributes to the development of a project's main output.

## Further considerations:

- Activities are directly linked to the specific objectives
- The LA can add as many activities as necessary but the project needs to remain focused and logic
- Deliverables are only requested in the second step.
- Deliverables should capture the milestones (no minutes of meetings or minor project outcomes that are to be kept for justifying the costs not for reporting purposes).



## Terminology:

- Project result - constitutes the immediate advantage of carrying out the project, telling us about the benefit of using the project main outputs. It can be captured by a programme result indicator.
- Project output - tells what has actually been produced for the money given to the project. It can be captured by a programme output indicator, and directly contributes to the achievement of the project results.

**EXAMPLE of project outputs: strategies, pilot actions (testing of potential solutions developed by the project), solution (e.g. methodologies, IT tools, management plan etc.)**





## Intervention logic

Output indicator	Result indicator
RCO 87 Organisations cooperating across borders	ISI: Organisations with increased institutional capacity due to their participation in cooperation activities across borders




### RCO 87: Organisations cooperating across borders - ***COMPULSORY FOR ALL THE PROJECTS***

- The indicator counts the organisations cooperating formally in supported projects. The organisations counted in this indicator are the legal entities including project partners and associated strategic partners, as mentioned in the application form and subsidy contract.
- At Programme level, double counting should be avoided at the level of project partners and ASP. When counting for the output indicator organisations cooperating across borders, it should be a legal entity. If the different departments of a university/city hall, etc. are established as individual legal entities, then they can be counted separately. If they don't have a legal status on their own then they should be counted as one entity.



# Intervention logic

Output indicator	Result indicator
RCO 87 Organisations cooperating across borders 	ISI: Organisations with increased institutional capacity due to their participation in cooperation activities across borders

## EXAMPLE:

- A project involves 10 partner organisations in the partnership and 4 ASPs. Additionally during the project implementation a memorandum of understanding will be signed with 20 additional organisations. The total of organisations formally cooperating across borders is 34 – the target value of the indicator RCO 87.
- The target value of ISI: the assumption is that all the project partner and ASP organisations will benefit from increased capacity (14 organisations). It is not considered that the organisations signing the memorandum of understanding are benefitting from increased institutional capacity since they are not formally participating in the project activities.





## Further considerations:

ISI: Organisations with increased institutional capacity due to their participation in cooperation activities across borders, other than organisations counted under RCO 87 Organisations cooperating across borders (PPs, etc.) – e.g. organisations external to the partnership

- measures the number of organisations that actively participated in cooperation activities of a project across borders and consequently increased their institutional capacity.
- An organisation is to be counted :
  - if it has undergone this kind of learning process through project activities. This is defined as more than one instance of tangible exchange in which the organisation played an active role.
  - no more than once per project regardless of how many activities it was involved in or how many departments were involved.
  - only if its increased institutional capacity is in the thematic field of the project.



## Further considerations:

ISI: Organisations with increased institutional capacity due to their participation in cooperation activities across borders, other than organisations counted under RCO 87 Organisations cooperating across borders (PPs, etc.) – e.g. organisations external to the partnership

- An organisation that is involved in the project partnership (LP, PPs, ASPs) are to be counted for this result indicator, if the result indicator is linked to the output indicator RCO87 (cooperation across border).
- An organisation, which actively took part in a project pilot action and increased their institutional capacity in the thematic field of the project, but not involved in the project partnership shall be counted for this indicator, if it is linked to the output indicator RCO84 (joint pilot actions).



Output indicator	Result indicator
RCO82 Participations in joint actions promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion	RCR85 Participations in joint actions across borders after project completion

- Indicators applicable only to Priority 3 SOs 3.1 and 3.2
- The programme output indicator RCO82 counts the number of participations in joint activities (e.g. joint exchange visits, joint study visits etc.) principally addressing horizontal principles (gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion) implemented in the supported projects
- Public events are not considered joint activities
- Result indicator has to be realistically considered: maybe not all the participants in the study visits during project implementation will continue also after but only e.g. half or three quarters.



# Intervention logic

Output indicator	Result indicator
RCO82 Participations in joint actions promoting gender equality, equal opportunities and social inclusion →	RCR85 Participations in joint actions across borders after project completion

**EXAMPLE:** 1 project organises a study visit for addressing horizontal principles:

Project output/ result	Programme output/ result indicator
participants in the joint exchange visit target value: 50 →	RCO82 Participations in joint actions promoting gender equality, equal
participants in the joint exchange visits after project completion target value: 30 →	RCR85 Participations in joint actions across borders after project completion



# Intervention logic

Output indicator	Result indicator
RCO 83 Strategies and action plans jointly developed	RCR 79 Joint strategies and action plans taken up by organisations



## RCO 83

- A joint strategy/action plan is to be counted if it is developed by the project, while revision or update of existing strategies/action plans cannot be counted under this indicator.
- Each developed strategy/action plan of the project shall be counted only once under the respective output indicator.
- In case a strategy is developed by the project and based on that also action plan(s) are developed within the same project, these are to be counted separately for this indicator.



# Intervention logic

Output indicator	Result indicator
RCO 83 Strategies and action plans jointly developed	RCR 79 Joint strategies and action plans taken up by organisations

**RCR 79:** Joint strategies and action plans taken up by organisations means that the elaborated strategy/action plan is endorsed and applied by its target group and the implementation of at least certain parts of the strategy/action plan already starts during project implementation or until the deadline of submission of the final progress report (three months after the project end).

- At the time of reporting this indicator, the implementation of the joint strategy or action plan does not need to be completed but effectively started.
- It is not necessary that the implementation of the strategy/ action plan is fully finalised in order to count the indicator.
- Together with the final progress report the lead partner shall provide the MA/JS with the timespan of strategy/ action plan implementation, timetable that should cover at least one year after the project end.





# Intervention logic

Output indicator	Result indicator
RCO 83 Strategies and action plans jointly developed	RCR 79 Joint strategies and action plans taken up by organisations

## EXAMPLE:

Project output/ result	Programme output/ result indicator
<p>Transnational strategy for energy diversification Target value: 1</p>	RCO 83 Strategies and action plans jointly developed
<p>The applicant shall only describe the result and connect it to the programme result indicator.</p>	RCR 79 Joint strategies and action plans taken up by organisations



# Intervention logic

Output indicator	Result indicator
RCO 84 Pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects	ISI: Organisations with increased institutional capacity due to their participation in cooperation activities across borders, other than organisations counted under RCO 87 Organisations cooperating across borders (PPs, etc.) – e.g. organisations external to the partnership
RCO 116 Jointly developed solutions	RCR 104 Solutions taken up or up-scaled by organisations



➤ ATTENTION: a solution to be counted as output it has to be piloted

**EXAMPLE:** one project is developing a tool for monitoring the water quality on the Danube. This potentially is a solution but the project has to pilot it (test it) and only if its feasibility is demonstrated then it will be reported as achieved solution.

We assume that the solution is tested in 5 pilot areas. During the piloting 5 institutions per pilot area is involved, therefore ISI target value will be 25 (5 institutions\*5 pilot areas).



## Further considerations:

### RCO84: Pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects

- pilot action has an **experimental nature** either testing of innovative products, methodologies, tools etc. or demonstrating the application of existing products, methodologies, tools to a certain territory/sector; the feasibility and effectiveness of procedures, new instruments, tools, experimentation or the transfer of practices.
- In order to be counted by this indicator, the **pilot action needs not only to be developed, but also implemented** within the project and the implementation of the pilot action should be finalised by the end of the project.
- Carrying out project activities in a certain “pilot area” without testing, or demonstrating a solution is not considered as pilot action and not to be counted under this indicator.



## Further considerations:

### RCO 116 *Jointly developed solutions*

- In order to be counted in the indicator, an identified solution should include indications of the actions needed for it to be taken up by the target group or to be up scaled.
- Each developed solution of the project shall be counted only once under the respective output indicator.



## Further considerations:

### RCR 104 *Solutions taken up or up-scaled by organisations*

- This indicator counts solutions that are used by at least one organisation within or outside the project partnership. The solution should be used either by an organisation that was not using it before the project or by an organisation that was already using it before the project and will now extend the planned duration or increase the scale.





## Intervention logic

Output indicator	Result indicator
RCO120 Projects supporting cooperation across borders to develop urban-rural linkages	N/A

### RCO 120:

- The indicator counts the number of projects which aim, as a primary objective, to enhance the cooperation across borders between urban and rural areas.
- It is valid only for SO 4.2
- Only projects submitted under SO4.2 which have as focus to enhance the cooperation across borders between urban and rural areas should select this indicator and the target value should be 1

Interreg Programme

**Danube Region**



Co-funded by  
the European Union

ANY QUESTIONS?

# Danube Region Programme



Interreg Programme

**Danube Region**



Co-funded by  
the European Union

Thank you very much

Simona Ene

[simona.ene@interreg-danube.eu](mailto:simona.ene@interreg-danube.eu)

[danube@interreg-danube.eu](mailto:danube@interreg-danube.eu)

# Danube Region Programme

